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I. Introduction: Institutional Values, Community Expectations, and Notice of Non-Discrimination

It is the policy of Occidental College (“Occidental” or the “College”) to maintain an environment for students, faculty, administrators, staff, and visitors that is free of all forms of discrimination and harassment, including sexual misconduct. The College has enacted this Sexual Misconduct Policy (the “Policy”) to reflect and maintain its institutional values and community expectations, to provide for fair and equitable procedures for determining when this Policy has been violated, and to provide recourse for individuals and the community in response to violations of this Policy.

This Policy prohibits all forms of sexual or gender-based discrimination, harassment, and misconduct, including sexual assault, non-consensual sexual contact, intimate partner violence, sexual exploitation, and stalking. This Policy also prohibits retaliation against a person who reports, complains about, or who otherwise participates in good faith in, any matter related to this Policy. All of the foregoing conduct shall be referred to as “Prohibited Conduct.”

Occidental does not discriminate on the basis of sex in its educational, extracurricular, athletic, or other programs or in the context of employment. Sex discrimination is prohibited by Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, a federal law that provides:

No person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.

Sexual harassment is also prohibited under Title IX, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, and other applicable statutes. This Policy prohibits sexual harassment against Occidental community members of any sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression in the context of education or employment. This Policy also prohibits gender-based harassment that does not involve conduct of a sexual nature.

The College strongly encourages all members of our community to take action to maintain and facilitate a safe, welcoming, and respectful environment on campus. In particular, the College expects that all Occidental community members will take reasonable and prudent actions to prevent or stop Prohibited Conduct. The College strongly supports individuals who choose to take such action and will protect such individuals from retaliation.

Upon receipt of a report, the College will take prompt and equitable action to eliminate the Prohibited Conduct (if any), prevent its recurrence, and remedy its effects. In addition, the College will fulfill its obligations under the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013 (“VAWA”) amendments to the Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act (“Clery Act”) in response to reported Prohibited Conduct. The College’s process for investigating and responding to reported Prohibited Conduct are contained in the following appendices: Appendix A (Resolution of a Grievance Against a Student) and Appendix B (Resolution of Discrimination, Harassment, or Retaliation Grievance Against an Administrator, Staff Member, or Faculty Member).
Occidental also prohibits other forms of discrimination and harassment, including discrimination and harassment on the basis of race, color, national origin, ancestry, age, religious belief, marital status, physical or mental disability, medical condition, veteran status, or any other characteristic protected by federal, state, or local law. Such prohibited conduct is addressed in other College policies prohibiting discrimination, harassment and retaliation based on protected status (other than sex or gender).

II. Scope of Policy

This Policy applies to all reports of Prohibited Conduct occurring on or after the effective date of this Policy. Where the date of the reported Prohibited Conduct precedes the effective date of this Policy, the definitions of misconduct in existence at the time of the report will be used. The Grievance Process under this Policy, however, will be used to investigate and resolve all reports made or that are pending on or after the effective date of this Policy, regardless of when the incident(s) occurred.

When used in this Policy, “Complainant” refers to the individual who is identified as the subject of Prohibited Conduct. “Respondent” refers to the individual alleged to have engaged in Prohibited Conduct. A “Third-Party” refers to any other participant in the process, including a witness or an individual who makes a report on behalf of a Complainant.

A. Persons Covered

This Policy applies to all Occidental community members, including students, faculty, administrators, staff, volunteers, vendors, contractors, visitors, and individuals regularly or temporarily employed, conducting business, studying, living, visiting, or having any official capacity with the College or on its property.

The College strongly encourages reports of Prohibited Conduct regardless of who engaged in the conduct. Even if the College does not have jurisdiction over the Respondent, the College will take prompt action to provide for the safety and well-being of the Complainant and the broader campus community.

B. Locations Covered

This Policy applies to all on-campus conduct and some off-campus conduct, described below. The College strongly encourages reports of Prohibited Conduct regardless of location. Even if the Policy does not apply to the conduct because of its location, the College will take prompt action to provide for the safety and well-being of the Complainant and the broader campus community.

On-Campus Conduct. This Policy applies to conduct that occurs on-campus, including conduct which occurs on property owned or controlled by the College.

College Programs. This Policy applies to conduct that occurs in the context of College employment or education programs or activities, including, but not limited to, Occidental study abroad or internship programs.
Off-Campus Conduct. This Policy also applies to conduct that occurs off-campus and has continuing adverse effects on, or creates a hostile environment for, any member of the Occidental community on-campus or in any College employment or education program or activity.

III. Prohibited Conduct and Definitions

A. Sex or Gender-Based Discrimination

Sex or gender-based discrimination refers to the disparate treatment of a person or group because of that person’s or group’s sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression.

B. Sexual or Gender-Based Harassment

“Harassment” is conduct that creates an intimidating, offensive, or hostile working or learning environment or that unreasonably interferes with work or academic performance based on a person’s protected status, including sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression. All such conduct is unlawful.

“Sexual Harassment” is any unwelcome sexual advance, request for sexual favors, or other unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature, whether verbal, physical, graphic, or otherwise.

“Gender-Based Harassment” is harassment based on sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression, which may include acts of aggression, intimidation, or hostility, whether verbal, physical, graphic, or otherwise. To qualify as Gender-Based Harassment, the conduct need not involve conduct of a sexual nature.

Generally speaking, harassment can be divided into two types of conduct:

1. Quid Pro Quo Harassment. Submission to or rejection of such conduct is made, either explicitly or implicitly, a term or condition of an individual’s employment, academic standing, or participation in any aspect of a College program or activity or is used as the basis for the College’s decisions affecting the individual.

2. Hostile Environment. A hostile environment exists when the conduct is sufficiently severe, pervasive, or persistent that it unreasonably interferes with, limits, or deprives an individual from participating in or benefiting from the College’s education or employment programs and/or activities. Whether conduct is sufficiently severe, pervasive, or persistent is determined both from a subjective and objective perspective.

Harassing conduct can take many forms. The determination of whether an environment is hostile is based on the totality of the circumstances, including but not limited to: (1) the frequency of the conduct; (2) the nature and severity of the conduct; (3) whether the conduct was physically threatening; (4) the effect of the conduct on the Complainant’s mental or emotional state, with consideration of whether the conduct unreasonably interfered with the
Complainant's educational or work performance and/or College programs or activities; (5) whether the conduct was directed at more than one person; (6) whether the conduct arose in the context of other discriminatory conduct; and (7) whether the conduct implicates concerns related to academic freedom or protected speech.

A single isolated incident may create a hostile environment if the incident is sufficiently severe, particularly if the conduct is physical. A single incident of Sexual Assault, for example, may be sufficiently severe to constitute a hostile environment. In contrast, the perceived offensiveness of a single verbal or written expression is typically not sufficient to constitute a hostile environment.

Sexual or Gender-Based Harassment:

- May be blatant and intentional and involve an overt action, a threat or reprisal, or may be subtle and indirect, with a coercive aspect that is unstated.
- May be committed by anyone, regardless of gender, age, position or authority. While there is often a power differential between two persons, perhaps due to differences in age, social, educational or employment relationships, harassment can occur in any context.
- May be committed by a stranger, an acquaintance, or someone with whom the Complainant has an intimate or sexual relationship.
- May be committed by or against an individual or may be a result of the actions of an organization or group.
- May occur by or against an individual of any sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression.
- May occur in the classroom, in the workplace, in residential settings, or in any other setting.
- May be a one-time event or can be part of a pattern of behavior.
- May be committed in the presence of others or when the Parties are alone.
- May affect the Complainant and/or third Parties who witness or observe harassment and are affected by it.

Examples of conduct that may constitute Sexual Harassment as defined above may include a severe, persistent, or pervasive pattern of unwelcome conduct that includes one or more of the following:

- **Physical conduct**, including unwelcome touching, sexual/physical assault,
impeding, restraining, or blocking movements, or unwanted sexual advances;

- **Verbal conduct**, including making or using derogatory comments, epithets, slurs or humor; verbal abuse of a sexual nature, graphic verbal commentaries about an individual’s body, sexually degrading words used to describe an individual, suggestive or obscene letters, notes, or invitations; or objectively offensive comments of a sexual nature, including persistent or pervasive sexually explicit statements, questions, jokes, or anecdotes;

- **Visual conduct**, including leering, making sexual gestures, displaying of suggestive objects or pictures, cartoons, or posters in a public space or forum; or severe, persistent, or pervasive visual displays of suggestive, erotic, or degrading sexually oriented images that are not pedagogically appropriate;

- **Written conduct**, including letters, notes or electronic communications containing comments, words, or images described above;

- **Quid pro quo conduct**, including direct propositions of a sexual nature between those for whom a power imbalance or supervisory or other authority relationship exists; offering educational or employment benefits in exchange for sexual favors; making submission to sexual advances an actual or implied condition of employment, work status, promotion, grades, or letters of recommendation, including subtle pressure for sexual activity, an element of which may be repeated requests for private meetings with no academic or work purpose; or making or threatening reprisals after a negative response to sexual advances.

**The College’s Commitment to Academic Freedom and Free Speech**

This Policy is consistent with the College’s commitment to academic freedom and free speech. This commitment requires that the College protect community members’ expression of ideas in their teaching, learning, and research, including advocacy that may be controversial, provocative, or unpopular. This protection extends to the expression of ideas, however controversial, in the classroom, residential life, and other campus-related activities.

It must be recognized, however, that this protection has its limits. This Policy defines those limits and conduct which is found to be “harassing” is not consistent with the College’s commitment to academic freedom and free speech. No member of the College community may escape responsibility for engaging in harassing conduct merely by labeling the conduct as “speech” or other expressive activity.

**C. Sexual Misconduct: Forms**

The College prohibits the following specific conduct:

1. Sexual Assault;
2. Non-Consensual Sexual Contact;
3. Sexual Exploitation; and
4. Stalking

Each of these is explained in detail below. The College recognizes that other Prohibited Conduct, including (but not limited to) Intimate Partner Violence and Retaliation, may refer to behavior which constitutes Sexual Misconduct. In instances where Prohibited Conduct constitutes a violation of more than one violation of the Policy, an individual may allege either or all violations of the Policy.

1. Sexual Assault

“Sexual Assault” is having or attempting to have sexual intercourse with another individual:

- By force or threat of force;
- Without effective affirmative consent; or
- Where that individual is incapacitated.

Sexual intercourse includes vaginal or anal penetration, however slight, with a body part (e.g., penis, tongue, finger, hand) or object, or oral penetration involving mouth to genital contact.

2. Non-Consensual Sexual Contact

“Non-Consensual Sexual Contact” is having sexual contact with another individual:

- By force or threat of force;
- Without effective affirmative consent; or
- Where that individual is incapacitated.

Sexual Contact includes intentional contact with the intimate parts of another, causing an individual to touch their own intimate body parts, or disrobing or exposure of another without permission. Intimate body parts may include the breasts, genitals, buttocks, groin, mouth or any other part of the body that is touched in a sexual manner.

3. Sexual Exploitation

“Sexual Exploitation” occurs when an individual takes non-consensual or abusive sexual advantage of another for one’s own advantage or benefit, or to benefit or advantage anyone other than the one being exploited. Examples of Sexual Exploitation include, but are not limited to:

- surreptitiously observing another individual’s nudity or sexual activity or allowing another to observe consensual sexual activity without the knowledge and consent of all Parties involved;
- non-consensual sharing or streaming of images, photography, video, or audio recording of sexual activity or nudity of the person being exploited, or distribution of such without the knowledge and consent of all Parties involved;
- exposing one’s genitals or inducing another to expose their own genitals in non-
consensual circumstances;

☐ knowingly exposing another individual to a sexually transmitted disease or virus without their knowledge; and

☐ inducing incapacitation for the purpose of making another person vulnerable to non-consensual sexual activity.

4. Stalking

“Stalking” occurs when a person engages in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to fear for their safety or the safety of others or suffer substantial emotional distress.

A course of conduct consists of two or more acts, including, but not limited to, acts in which a person directly, indirectly, or through third Parties, by any action, method, device, or means, follows, monitors, observes, surveils, threatens, or communicates to or about another person, or interferes with another person’s property.

Reasonable person means a reasonable person under similar circumstances and with similar identities to the Complainant.

Substantial emotional distress means significant mental suffering or anguish that may, but does not necessarily, require medical or other professional treatment or counseling.

Cyber-stalking is a particular form of stalking in which electronic media such as the internet, social networks, blogs, cell phones, texts, or other similar devices or forms of contact are used.

Examples of Stalking include, but are not limited to:

- Non-consensual communication including in-person communication, telephone calls, voice messages, text messages, email messages, social networking site postings, instant messages, postings of pictures or information on web sites, written letters, gifts, or any other communications that are undesired and/or place another person in fear;
- Following, pursuing, waiting, or showing up uninvited at a workplace, place of residence, classroom, or other locations frequented by a person;
- Surveillance and other types of observation, whether by physical proximity or electronic means; and
- Gathering of information about a person from family, friends, co-workers, and/or classmates.
- To qualify as stalking, the conduct is not required to be sexual in nature.

D. Intimate Partner Violence: Forms

“Intimate Partner Violence” includes any act of violence or threatened act of violence against a person who is, or has been involved in, a sexual, dating, spousal, domestic, or other intimate relationship with the Respondent. The College will not tolerate Intimate Partner Violence of
Intimate Partner Violence is often referred to as dating violence, domestic violence, or relationship violence. Intimate Partner Violence can encompass a broad range of behavior including, but not limited to, physical violence, sexual violence, psychological and/or emotional violence, and economic abuse. It may involve one act or an ongoing pattern of behavior.

Intimate Partner Violence may take the form of threats, assault, property damage, violence or threat of violence to one’s self, one’s sexual or romantic partner, or to the family members or friends of the sexual or romantic partner. Intimate Partner Violence affects individuals of all sexes, sexual orientations, gender identities, and gender expressions, races and social and economic backgrounds.

“Dating Violence” is violence committed by a person who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the person subjected to such violence. Whether there was such a relationship will be determined based on, among other factors, the Complainant’s and Respondent’s statements, and with consideration of the length of the relationship, the type of relationship, and the frequency of interaction between the Parties involved in the relationship.

“Domestic Violence” is also felony or misdemeanor crime of violence committed by: (1) a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the victim; (2) a person with whom the victim shares a child in common; (3) a person who is cohabiting with, or has cohabited with, the victim as a spouse or intimate partner; (4) a person similarly situated to a spouse of the victim under the domestic or family violence laws of the jurisdiction in which the crime of violence occurred; or (5) any other person against an adult or youth victim who is protected from that person’s acts under the domestic or family violence laws of the jurisdiction in which the crime of violence has occurred.

E. Sexual Misconduct & Intimate Partner Violence: Definitions of Key Terms; Other Considerations

To provide clarity to all individuals as to the kinds of behavior which constitute Sexual Misconduct and/or Intimate Partner Violence, the College further defines key terms which the College will use in evaluating whether Prohibited Conduct has occurred.

1. Affirmative Consent

“Affirmative Consent” means affirmative, conscious, and voluntary agreement to engage in sexual activity. Affirmative consent is required for any sexual activity to occur between two or more individuals. It is the responsibility of each person involved in the sexual activity to ensure that the person has the affirmative consent of the other(s) to engage in the sexual activity.

It shall not be a valid excuse to allege lack of affirmative consent that the Respondent believed that the Complainant consented to the sexual activity under either of the following circumstances: (a) The Respondent’s belief in affirmative consent arose from the intoxication or recklessness of the Respondent, or (b) the Respondent did not take
reasonable steps, in the circumstances known to the Respondent at the time, to ascertain whether the Complainant affirmatively consented.

The following are essential elements of affirmative consent:

*Informed and reciprocal:* All Parties must demonstrate a clear and mutual understanding of the nature and scope of the act to which they are consenting and a willingness to do the same thing, at the same time, in the same way.

*Freely and actively given:* Consent cannot be obtained through the use of force, coercion, threats, intimidation or pressuring, or by taking advantage of the incapacitation of another individual.

*Mutually understandable:* Communication regarding consent consists of mutually understandable words and/or actions that indicate a mutually unambiguous willingness to engage in sexual activity. Consent may not be inferred from silence, passivity, lack of resistance, or lack of active response. **An individual who does not physically resist or verbally refuse sexual activity is not necessarily giving consent. Relying solely upon non-verbal communication can lead to a false conclusion as to whether consent was sought or given.**

*Not indefinite:* Affirmative consent must be ongoing throughout the activity. **Consent may be withdrawn by any Party at any time.** Recognizing the dynamic nature of sexual activity, individuals choosing to engage in sexual activity must evaluate consenting an ongoing manner and communicate clearly throughout all stages of sexual activity.

Withdrawal of consent can be an expressed “no” or can be based on an outward demonstration that conveys that an individual is hesitant, confused, uncertain, or is no longer a mutual participant. Once consent is withdrawn, the sexual activity must cease immediately and all Parties must obtain mutually expressed or clearly stated consent before continuing further sexual activity.

*Not unlimited:* Consent to one form of sexual contact does not constitute consent to all forms of sexual contact, nor does consent to sexual activity with one person constitute consent to activity with any other person. Each participant in a sexual encounter must consent to each form of sexual contact with each participant. Even in the context of a current or previous intimate relationship, each Party must consent to each instance of sexual contact each time. The consent must be based on mutually understandable communication that clearly indicates a willingness to engage in sexual activity. The mere fact that there has been prior intimacy or sexual activity does not, by itself, imply consent to future acts.

*Age:* The State of California considers sexual intercourse with a minor to be unlawful. A person who engages in felony “unlawful” sexual intercourse as described in the California Penal Code does so without effective consent as defined by the College’s Sexual Misconduct Policy. Specifically, there is no effective consent under the
College’s Sexual Misconduct Policy where on Party (the “minor”) is under the age of eighteen, and the other Party is more than three years older than the minor.

2. Force

“Force” is the use or threat of physical violence to overcome an individual’s freedom of will to choose whether or not to participate in sexual activity or provide consent. Consent obtained by force is not valid.

For the use of force to be demonstrated, there is no requirement that a Complainant resist the sexual advance or request. However, evidence of resistance by the Complainant will be viewed as a clear demonstration of a lack of consent.

3. Intimidation

“Intimidation” is the use of implied threats to overcome an individual’s freedom of will to choose whether or not to participate in sexual activity or provide consent. Consent obtained by intimidation is not valid.

4. Coercion

“Coercion” is the improper use of pressure to compel another individual to initiate or continue sexual activity against that individual’s will. Consent obtained through coercion is not valid.

Coercion can include a wide range of behaviors, including intimidation, manipulation, threats, and blackmail. A person’s words or conduct are sufficient to constitute coercion if they wrongfully impair another individual’s freedom of will and ability to choose whether or not to engage in sexual activity. Examples of coercion include threatening to “out” someone based on sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression and threatening to harm oneself if the other Party does not engage in the sexual activity. When someone indicates, verbally or physically, that they do not want to engage in a particular sexual activity, that they want to stop a particular activity, or that they do not want to go past a certain point of sexual interaction, continued activity or pressure to continue beyond that point can be coercive. The College will evaluate the following in determining whether coercion was used: (a) the frequency of the application of pressure, (b) the intensity of the pressure, (c) the degree of isolation of the person being pressured, and (d) the duration of the pressure.

5. Incapacitation

“Incapacitation” is a state where an individual cannot make an informed and rational decision to engage in sexual activity because of a lack of conscious understanding of the fact, nature, or extent of the act (e.g., to understand the who, what, when, where, why, or how of the sexual interaction) and/or is physically helpless. For example, an individual is incapacitated, and therefore, unable to give consent, if the individual is asleep, unconscious, or otherwise unaware that sexual activity is occurring. An individual will also be considered incapacitated if the person cannot understand the nature of the activity or communicate due to mental or physical condition.
Incapacitation may result from the use of alcohol, drugs, or other medication. Consumption of alcohol or other drugs alone is insufficient to establish incapacitation.

The impact of alcohol and drugs varies from person to person, and evaluating incapacitation requires an assessment of how the consumption of alcohol and/or drugs impacts an individual’s: (1) decision-making ability; (2) awareness of consequences; (3) ability to make informed judgments; or (4) capacity to appreciate the nature and the quality of the act.

It shall not be a valid excuse that the Respondent believed that the Complainant affirmatively consented to the sexual activity if the Respondent knew or reasonably should have known that the Complainant was unable to consent to the sexual activity under any of the following circumstances: (a) the Complainant was asleep or unconscious; (b) the Complainant was incapacitated due to the influence of drugs, alcohol, or medication, so that the Complainant could not understand the fact, nature, or extent of the sexual activity; (c) the Complainant was unable to communicate due to a mental or physical condition.

Whether the Respondent reasonably should have known that the Complainant was incapacitated will be evaluated using an objective reasonable person standard. The fact that the Respondent was actually unaware of the Complainant’s incapacity is irrelevant to this analysis, particularly where the Respondent’s failure to appreciate the Complainant’s incapacitation resulted from the Respondent’s failure to take reasonable steps to determine the Complainant’s incapacitation or where the Respondent’s own incapacitation (from alcohol or drugs) caused the Respondent to misjudge the Complainant’s incapacity.

It is the responsibility of each Party to be aware of the intoxication level of the other Party before engaging in sexual activity. In general, sexual activity while under the influence of alcohol or other drugs poses a risk to all Parties. If there is any doubt as to the level or extent of the other individual’s intoxication, it is safest to forgo or cease any sexual contact or activity.

Being intoxicated by drugs or alcohol is no defense to any violation of this Policy and does not diminish one’s responsibility to obtain consent.

F. Prohibited Relationships by Persons in Authority

Sexual or other intimate relationships in which one Party maintains — and fails to promptly report and discontinue — a direct supervisory or evaluative role over the other Party are prohibited. In general, this includes all sexual or other intimate relationships between students and their employers, supervisors, professors, coaches, advisors, or other College employees. Similarly, College employees (faculty and staff) who supervise or otherwise hold positions of authority over others are prohibited from having a sexual or other intimate relationship with an individual under the employee’s direct supervision.

The College does not wish to interfere with private choices regarding personal relationships when these relationships do not interfere with the goals and policies of the College. However, faculty, administrators, and other who educate, supervise, evaluate, employ, counsel, coach, or
otherwise guide students should understand the fundamentally asymmetrical nature of the relationship they have with students, employees (as applicable), or subordinates. Intimate or sexual relationships where there is a differential in power or authority produce risks for every member of our community and undermine the professionalism of faculty and supervisors. In either context, the unequal position of the Parties presents an inherent element of risk and may raise sexual harassment concerns if one person in the relationship has the actual or apparent authority to supervise, evaluate, counsel, coach, or otherwise make decisions or recommendations as to the other person in connection with their employment or education at the College.

Sexual relations between persons occupying asymmetrical positions of power, even when both consent, raise suspicions that the person in authority has violated standards of professional conduct and potentially subject the person in authority to charges of sexual harassment based on changes in the perspective of the individuals as to the consensual nature of the relationship. Similarly, these relationships may impact third Parties based on perceived or actual favoritism or special treatment based on the relationship.

The College has adopted a policy that balances, on the one hand, its wish not to interfere with the private choices of its community members and, on the other hand, concerns regarding relationships in which one Party maintains a direct supervisory or evaluative role over the other Party. Specifically, if an individual contemplates beginning, or is involved in, a sexual or other intimate relationship with another, over whom the individual holds direct supervisory or evaluative responsibilities, the individual must promptly: (1) discontinue any supervising role or relationship over the other person; and (2) report the circumstances to their own supervisor or the Human Resources Director. Failure to fully or timely comply with these requirements is a violation of this Policy, and the person in authority could be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including dismissal from employment by the College.

Any individual may file a complaint alleging harassment or discrimination, including third Parties outside the relationship directly affected by the perceived harassment or discrimination.

G. Retaliation

Retaliation includes adverse action taken against a person for making a good faith report of Prohibited Conduct or participating in any proceeding under this Policy. Adverse action includes conduct that threatens, intimidates, harasses, coerces or in any other way seeks to discourage a reasonable person from engaging in activity protected under this Policy. Retaliation can be committed by or against any individual or group of individuals, not just a Respondent or Complainant. Retaliation does not include good faith actions lawfully pursued in response to a report of Prohibited Conduct. Retaliation may be present even where there is a finding of “no responsibility” on the allegations of Prohibited Conduct.

The College will take immediate and responsive action to any report of retaliation and will pursue disciplinary action as appropriate. An individual reporting Prohibited Conduct is entitled to protection from any form of retaliation following a report that is made in good faith, even if the report is later not proven.

As explained in Section VI below, any employee may pursue any charge of discrimination or
harassment with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (“DFEH”) or the federal Equal Opportunity Employment Commission (“EEOC”). It is unlawful to retaliate against any employee for opposing the practices prohibited by the California Fair Employment and Housing Act or comparable federal law or for filing a complaint with, or for otherwise participating in, an investigation, proceeding, or hearing conducted by the DFEH or EEOC.

IV. Confidentiality and Privacy

A. Privacy and Confidentiality: Understanding the Differences

The College is committed to protecting the privacy of all individuals involved in the investigation and resolution of reports under this Policy. The College also is committed to assisting students, employees, and third Parties in making informed choices. With respect to any report under this Policy, the College will make reasonable efforts to protect the privacy of participants, in accordance with applicable state and federal law, while balancing the need to gather information to take steps to eliminate Prohibited Conduct, prevent its recurrence, and remedy its effects. All College employees who are involved in the College’s Title IX response receive specific instruction about respecting and safeguarding private information.

Privacy and confidentiality have distinct meanings under this Policy.

1. Privacy

“Privacy” generally means that information related to a report of Prohibited Conduct will only be shared with a limited circle of individuals who “need to know” in order to assist in the assessment, investigation, or resolution of the report. While not bound by confidentiality, these individuals will be discreet and respect the privacy of all individuals involved in the process.

The privacy of student education records will be protected in accordance with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (“FERPA”), as outlined in the College’s FERPA policy. The privacy of an individual’s medical and related records may be protected by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (“HIPAA”), excepting health records protected by FERPA and by the California Confidentiality of Medical Information Act (“CMIA”), Cal. Civ. Code § 56.05 et seq. Access to an employee’s personnel records may be restricted by applicable California and federal law.

While there are certain limitations on privacy, the College generally will not release the names of the Complainant or Respondent to the general public without express written consent or absent another exception consistent with the law. The release of names will be guided by applicable law, including the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and the Clery Act.

In addition, no information shall be released from a proceeding to enforce this Policy except as required or permitted by law and College policy.

2. Confidentiality

“Confidentiality” generally means that information shared by an individual with designated
campus or community professionals cannot be revealed to any other individual without the express permission of the individual.

The confidentiality of information shared by an individual with designated campus or community professionals generally is governed by California law, including California Evidence Code restrictions on disclosure of information by mental health providers, ordained clergy, rape crisis counselors, and attorneys, all of whom have legally protected confidentiality. These individuals are prohibited from breaking confidentiality unless there is an imminent threat of harm to self or others.

An individual who seeks confidential assistance may do so by speaking with professionals who have a legally protected confidentiality. The confidential resources available to individuals on campus are listed in Section X, below. Note, however, that these confidential resources are required by state law to notify child protective services and/or local law enforcement of any report which involves suspected abuse of a minor under the age of 18.

B. Responsible Employees and Requests for Confidentiality

A “Responsible Employee” includes any employee who: (1) has the authority to take action to redress the harassment; (2) has the duty to report to appropriate school officials sexual harassment or any other misconduct by students or employees; or, (3) a student could reasonably believe has the authority or responsibility to take action. All College employees who do not have legally protected confidentiality are considered Responsible Employees. This includes all employees with supervisory or leadership responsibilities on-campus, including, but not limited to, faculty, coaches, administrators, staff members and Resident Advisors. The College requires that all Responsible Employees share a report of misconduct with the Title IX Coordinator or a Deputy Title IX Coordinator. The purpose of this requirement is to permit the College to take immediate and corrective action to respond to allegations of Prohibited Conduct.

A student may desire to report Prohibited Conduct to the College but to maintain confidentiality; if so, the Title IX Coordinator will evaluate such requests. Where a Complainant requests that the Complainant’s name or other identifiable information not be shared with the Respondent or that no formal action be taken, the Title IX Coordinator, in conjunction with the Title IX team, will balance the Complainant’s request with its obligation to provide a safe and non-discriminatory environment for all College community members. In making this determination, the College may consider the seriousness of the conduct, the respective ages and roles of the Complainant and Respondent, whether there were additional complainants or potential respondents involved, whether a weapon was used, evidence of predation, and whether there have been other complaints or reports of harassment or misconduct against the Respondent, among other factors.

The College will take all reasonable steps to the complaint with the request for confidentiality or request not to pursue an investigation, but its ability to do so may be limited based on the nature of the request by the Complainant. Where the College is unable to take action consistent with the request of the Complainant, the Title IX Coordinator or a member of the Title IX team will inform the Complainant about the chosen course of action, which may include the College
seeking disciplinary action against a Respondent. Alternatively, the course of action may also include steps to limit the effects of the alleged harassment and prevent its recurrence that do not involve formal disciplinary action against a Respondent or revealing the identity of the Complainant.

C. Disclosures Required by The Clery Act

1. Timely Warnings

A “Timely Warning” is a campus-wide notification of a serious or continuing threat to the Occidental community. The Timely Warning does not include identifying information about the Complainant. If a report of misconduct discloses a continuing threat involving one of the specified “Clery crimes” to the Occidental community, the College may issue a campus wide timely warning (which can take the form of an email to campus) to protect the health or safety of the community.

2. Annual Reporting Responsibilities

All higher education institutions that receive federal funding, including the College, are obligated to issue publicly an Annual Security Report (“ASR”) which identifies the number of particular reported crimes on campus or campus property, or adjacent to campus. The ASR does not include identifying information about the Complainant or Respondent.

3. Crime Log

All higher education institutions that have campus police forces or security departments must maintain a daily crime log that includes entries for all crimes that occur within both the Clery geography and the campus safety force’s regular patrol route. The crime log does not include identifying information about the Complainant or Respondent.

V. Occidental’s Title IX Coordinator and Deputy Coordinators

A. Notice of Designated Title IX Coordinator and Deputy Coordinators

The College has designated Alexandra Fulcher as Title IX Coordinator. The College has designated Jacalyn Feigelman, Associate Director of Human Resources, and Interim Director of HR and Heather Lukes, Associate Professor of Critical Theory, as Deputy Title IX Coordinators. The Title IX Coordinator and Deputy Coordinators can be contacted by telephone, email, or in person during weekdays only regular office hours:

**Alexandra Fulcher**  
Title IX Coordinator  
(323) 259-1338  
afulcher@oxy.edu | AGC 111

**Jacalyn Feigelman**  
Deputy Title IX Coordinator  
(323) 259-2614 | jcalynf@oxy.edu
B. **Role of The Title IX Coordinator and Deputy Coordinators**

The Title IX Coordinator monitors the College’s overall compliance with Title IX, ensures appropriate training and education, and oversees the College’s investigation, response, and resolution of reports made under this Policy. Upon receiving reports of Prohibited Conduct, the Title IX Coordinator ensures that appropriate action is taken to eliminate that conduct, prevent its recurrence, and remedy its effects. The Title IX Coordinator is available to advise all individuals—including individuals who have experienced misconduct, individuals who are alleged to be responsible for misconduct, and third Parties—on this Policy and the appended Grievance Processes.

The Title IX Coordinator is supported and assisted by Deputy Coordinators. In addition, based on the relationship of the Complainant and/or the Respondent to the College, the Title IX Coordinator may be supported by the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Dean of the College, the Chief of Campus Safety, and/or a representative from the Dean of Students Office and/or Human Resources. Collectively, the Title IX Coordinator and the specific individuals supporting the Coordinator are the “Title IX Team.” The Title IX Team is a small circle of individuals who have a “need to know” of any alleged Prohibited Conduct to effectuate this Policy. The foregoing individuals have received appropriate training to discharge their responsibilities.

VI. **Reporting**

The College strongly encourages all individuals to seek assistance from a medical provider and/or law enforcement immediately after an incident of sexual violence. This is the best option to ensure preservation of evidence and to begin a timely investigative and remedial response.

The College also strongly encourages all individuals to make a report to the College and to local law enforcement, although neither is required. These reporting options are not mutually exclusive. Both internal and criminal reports may be made simultaneously.

The College has a strong interest in supporting those who have experienced sexual harassment, sexual violence, stalking, and intimate partner violence and strongly encourages all individuals or third-party witnesses to report any incident to the College.

Making a report means telling a Responsible Employee what happened — in person, by telephone, in writing, or by email. At the time a report is made, a Complainant does not have to request any particular course of action, nor does a Complainant need to know how to label
what happened. Choosing to make a report, and deciding how to proceed after making the report, can be a process that unfolds over time. The College provides support that can assist each individual in making these important decisions and will respect an individual’s autonomy in deciding how to proceed to the extent legally possible. In this process, the College will balance the individual’s interest with its obligation to provide a safe and non-discriminatory environment for all members of the College community.

The College will respond to all reports of Prohibited Conduct in a fair and impartial manner. A Complainant, a Respondent and all individuals involved will be treated with dignity and respect. In response to all reports of Prohibited Conduct, the College will make an immediate assessment of a risk of harm to the Complainant, Respondent, or to the broader campus community and will take steps to address those risks. These steps may include interim supportive or protective measures to provide for the safety of the individual and the campus community.

The contact information for all reporting options may be found in the List of Resources for Reporting, Care and Support, or by clicking on the links below.

A. Emergency and External Reporting Options

Complainants have the right to notify or decline to notify law enforcement. The College strongly encourages all individuals to seek assistance from law enforcement immediately after an incident of Sexual Misconduct, Intimate Partner Violence, or any other Prohibited Conduct. This is the best option to ensure preservation of evidence and to begin a timely criminal investigative and remedial response. Police have unique legal authority, including the power to seek and execute search warrants, collect forensic evidence, make arrests, and assist in seeking emergency protective orders. If requested, the College will help any Occidental community member to get to a safe place and will provide transportation to the hospital, coordination with law enforcement, and information about on- and off-campus resources and options for resolution.

- LAPD (Los Angeles Police Department)
- LAPD Northeast Division

B. Campus Reporting Options

The College strongly encourages all individuals to report misconduct to any College employee. The College recommends that individuals report Prohibited Conduct to any of the following offices or individuals:

- Title IX Office
  Handles complaints of Prohibited Conduct against any individual; works with other offices on-campus as appropriate to provide supportive interim measures, which may
include changes in housing, academic flexibility, and No Contact letters, among other measures. See Section V.A. above for more information.

a. Alexandra Fulcher, Title IX Coordinator
b. Jacalyn Feigelman, Deputy Title IX Coordinator
c. Heather Lukes, Deputy Title IX Coordinator

• **Campus**

Available as a first option to report any incident of crime or violence, as well as any incidents involving Prohibited Conduct. Also provides an escort service on campus to any student and can reach the Dean on Duty at any time.

• **Human Resources**

  Works with the Title IX Coordinator to address complaints of Prohibited Conduct against all employees (faculty, administration, and staff).

C. **Anonymous Reporting**

Any individual may make an anonymous report concerning an act of Prohibited Conduct. An individual may report the incident without disclosing their name, identifying the Respondent, or requesting any action. Depending on the extent of information available about the incident or the individuals involved, however, the College’s ability to respond to an anonymous report may be limited. The Anonymous Reporting Form can be found here.

The Title IX Coordinator will receive the anonymous report and will determine any appropriate steps, including individual or community remedies as appropriate, and in consultation with the Clery Team, compliance with all Clery Act obligations.

D. **Reporting to External Agencies**

In addition to reporting to law enforcement or the College, students, faculty, and staff should be aware of the following external governmental agencies that investigate and prosecute complaints of prohibited discrimination and harassment:

• **Title IX Compliance.**

  Inquiries or complaints concerning the College’s compliance with Title IX may be referred to the U.S. Department of Education’s Office for Civil Rights.

• **Employment Discrimination or Harassment.**

  Any employee may pursue any charge of discrimination or harassment with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (“DFEH”) or the federal Equal Opportunity Employment Commission (“EEOC”). It is unlawful to retaliate against any employee for opposing the practices prohibited by the California Fair Employment and Housing Act or comparable federal law or for filing a complaint with, or for otherwise participating in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing
conducted by the DFEH or EEOC.

- Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education 2535 Capitol Oaks Drive Suite 400 Sacramento, CA 95833 Telephone: (916) 431-6924 | FAX: (916) 263-1897 | Website: bppe.ca.gov

The Bureau accepts all types of complaints related to the College and may refer any complaint it receives including complaints related to institutional policies or procedures, or both, to the College, an accrediting agency, or another appropriate entity for resolution. More information about filing a complaint with the Bureau can be found at:

bppe.ca.gov/enforcement/faqs.shtml and bppe.ca.gov/enforcement/complaint.shtml.

E. Other Reporting Considerations

1. Timeliness and Location of Incident

Complainants and third-Party witnesses are encouraged to report Prohibited Conduct as soon as possible in order to maximize the College’s ability to respond promptly and effectively. The College does not, however, limit the time frame for reporting. If the Respondent is not a member of the Occidental community, the College will still seek to take steps to end the harassment, prevent its recurrence, and address its effects, but its ability to take disciplinary action against the Respondent will, of course, be limited.

An incident does not have to occur on campus to be reported to the College. Off-campus conduct that is likely to have a substantial effect on the Complainant’s on-campus life and activities or poses a threat or danger to members of the Occidental community may also be addressed under this Policy.

2. Amnesty for Alcohol or Other Drug Use or Other Conduct Violations

The College strongly encourages the reporting of Prohibited Conduct under this Policy. It is in the best interest of this community that as many Complainants as possible choose to report to college officials and that participants in the grievance process are forthright in sharing information. To guard against discouraging reporting or participation, a student who reports Prohibited Conduct or participates in the grievance process, either as a Complainant, Respondent, or a third-Party witness, will not be subject to disciplinary action by the College for personal consumption of alcohol or drugs or other violations of the conduct policy (not including this Policy) at or near the time of the incident, provided that any such violations did not and do not place the health or safety of any other person at risk and do not involve plagiarism, cheating, or academic dishonesty. The College may, however, initiate an educational discussion or pursue other educational remedies with the student regarding alcohol or other drugs. Being intoxicated or impaired by drugs or alcohol is no defense to any violation of this Policy and does not diminish one's responsibility to obtain consent.
3. **Coordination with Law Enforcement**

The College strongly encourages Complainants to pursue criminal action for incidents of sexual harassment, sexual violence, and intimate partner violence that may also be crimes under California law. The College will assist a Complainant in making a criminal report and cooperate with law enforcement agencies if a Complainant decides to pursue the criminal process to the extent permitted by law.

The College’s Policy, definitions, and burden of proof may differ from California criminal law. A Complainant may seek recourse under this Policy and/or pursue criminal action. Neither law enforcement’s determination whether or not to prosecute a Respondent, nor the outcome of any criminal prosecution, are determinative of whether a violation of this Policy has occurred.

Proceedings under this Policy may be carried out prior to, simultaneously with, or following civil or criminal proceedings off campus.

The College may not delay conducting its own investigation unless specifically requested by the law enforcement (e.g., LAPD). In the event of such specific request, the College shall defer its investigation only during the time that law enforcement is gathering evidence, which should not exceed ten (10) days absent extenuating circumstances. The College will nevertheless communicate with the Complainant and Respondent (if appropriate) regarding Title IX rights, procedural options, and the implementation of supportive measures to assure safety and well-being. The College will promptly resume fact-gathering as soon as it is informed that law enforcement has completed its initial investigation.

4. **False Reports**

The College takes the accuracy of information very seriously, as a report of Prohibited Conduct may have severe consequences. A good-faith complaint that results in a finding of not responsible is not considered a false or fabricated report of Prohibited Conduct. However, when a Complainant or third-Party witness is found to have fabricated allegations or given false information with malicious intent or in bad faith, the Complainant may be subject to disciplinary action. It is a violation of the Code of Student Conduct to make an intentionally false report of any Policy violation, and it may also violate state criminal statutes and civil defamation laws.

5. **Take Back the Night and Other Public Awareness Events**

Consistent with guidance from the Office for Civil Rights of the Department of Education, public awareness events such as “Take Back the Night,” the Clothesline Project, candlelight vigils, protests, or other forums in which community members disclose incidents of Prohibited Conduct do not initiate the College’s Title IX obligations, including its obligation to investigate reports of sexual harassment or sexual violence. Such events may, however, inform the need for campus-wide educational and prevention efforts, and the College may implement broad community initiatives in response to such events where appropriate.
6. Reports Involving Minors or Suspected Child Abuse

Under California law, an individual must make a mandatory report of suspected child abuse and neglect, including sexual assault when that individual, in their professional capacity or within the scope of their employment, has knowledge of, or observes, a minor under the age of 18 whom the individual knows or reasonably suspects has been the survivor of child abuse or neglect.

All College employees are required to immediately report any suspected child abuse and neglect to the Title IX Coordinator and the Director of Campus Safety. The source of abuse does not need to be known in order to file a report.

The College will report all suspected child abuse and neglect, including sexual assault, to law enforcement and/or the Los Angeles County Department of Child and Family Services. The College must act quickly regarding all reasonable suspicions of sexual or physical abuse. It is not the responsibility of any employee, student, or volunteer to investigate suspected child abuse. This is the role of Child Protective Services and law enforcement authorities.

In addition to notifying the Title IX Coordinator and Director of Campus Safety, any individual may make a direct report as follows:

- If a child is in immediate danger, call 911.
- If there is no immediate danger, contact the Child Protection Hotline 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, at (800) 540-4000. If calling from outside of California, call (213) 639-4500.

Any Occidental employee who is also a confidential employee (for example, a therapist, sexual assault victim advocate, physician) and who was hired into that confidential role should report suspected child abuse or neglect to law enforcement and/or the Los Angeles County Department of Child and Family Services but would not make any such report to the Title IX Coordinator or the Director of Campus Safety.

F. Supportive Measures and Remedies

1. Overview

Upon receipt of a report, the College will provide reasonable and appropriate supportive measures designed to eliminate the alleged hostile environment and protect the Parties involved. The College will make reasonable efforts to communicate with the Parties to ensure that all safety, emotional and physical well-being concerns are being addressed. Supportive measures may be imposed regardless of whether formal disciplinary action is sought by the Complainant or the College, and regardless of whether the crime is reported to Campus Safety or local law enforcement. Interim protective measures are available to both Complainants and Respondents.

A Complainant or Respondent may request a No Contact Letter or other protection, or the College may choose to impose supportive measures at its discretion to ensure the safety of all
Parties, the broader College community, and/or the integrity of the process.

The College will maintain the privacy of any remedial and supportive measures provided under this Policy to the extent practicable and will promptly address any violation of the protective measures. All individuals are encouraged to report concerns about failure of another individual to abide by any restrictions imposed by a supportive measure. The College will take immediate and responsive action to enforce a previously implemented restriction if such restriction was violated.

2. **Range of Measures**

Supportive and protective measures will be implemented at the discretion of the College. Potential remedies which may be applied include:

- Access to counseling services and assistance in setting up initial appointment, both on and off campus;
- Imposition of campus “No Contact Letter;”
- Rescheduling of exams and assignments (in conjunction with appropriate faculty and deans as necessary);
- Providing alternative course completion options (with the agreement of the appropriate faculty);
- Change in class schedule, including the ability to take an “incomplete,” drop a course without penalty or transfer sections (with the agreement of the appropriate faculty);
- Change in work schedule or job assignment;
- Change in on-campus housing, when feasible;
- Arranging to dissolve a housing contract and pro-rating a refund in accordance with campus housing policies;
- Assistance from College support staff in completing housing relocation;
- Limiting an individual or organization’s access to certain College facilities or activities pending resolution of the matter;
- Voluntary leave of absence;
- Providing an escort to ensure safe movement between classes and activities;
- Providing medical services;
• Providing academic support services, such as tutoring;
• Interim suspension or College-imposed leave;
• Any other remedy that can be tailored to the involved individuals to reasonably achieve the goals of this Policy.

VII. Interim Suspension or Separation

Where the reported conduct poses a substantial and immediate threat of harm to the safety or well-being of an individual, members of the campus community, or the performance of normal College functions, the College may place a student or student organization on interim suspension or impose leave for an employee. Pending resolution of the report, the individual or organization may be denied access to campus, campus facilities, and/or all other College activities or privileges for which the student might otherwise be eligible, as the College determines appropriate. When interim suspension or leave is imposed, the College will make reasonable efforts to complete the investigation and resolution within an expedited time frame.

A student Respondent who has been put on interim suspension has the right to a meeting within three (3) business days with the Dean of Students or designee to appeal the interim suspension. The Dean of Students (or designee) reviews the appeal to determine whether the decision to put a student on interim suspension was arbitrary or capricious. A decision is arbitrary and capricious where there is no rational connection between the facts presented and the decision made.

Provisions for suspension of faculty members are contained in the Faculty Handbook. Staff may be placed on leave at the discretion of the College.

VIII. Care and Support Resources for Complainants and Respondents.

The College is committed to treating all members of the community with dignity, care, and respect. Any student who experiences or is affected by violations under this Policy, whether as a Complainant, a Respondent, or a Third-Party, will have equal access to support and counseling services through the College.

The College strongly encourages individuals to report Prohibited Conduct. The College recognizes, however, that the decision to report Prohibited Conduct (to the College and/or law enforcement) can be difficult. The College strongly encourages individuals who are considering whether to report Prohibited Conduct to seek the support of confidential campus and community resources, listed in Section X, below. These trained professionals can provide guidance in making decisions, information about available resources and procedural options, and assistance to either Party in the event that a report and/or resolution under this Policy is pursued. These resources are available regardless of when or where the incident occurred.

There are many resources available on campus and in the surrounding community. As
detailed below, there are Confidential Resources, which by law cannot share information without the consent of the individual seeking assistance (in most circumstances). There are also a variety of College resources that will be discreet and private but are not considered confidential. These resources will maintain the privacy of an individual’s information within the limited circle of those involved in the resolution of a complaint under this Policy.

The contact information for the resources below may be found in the List of Resources for Reporting, Care and Support, or by clicking on the links below.

A. Confidential Resources (Non-Medical)

The College strongly encourages all community members to make a prompt report of any incident of Prohibited Conduct to local law enforcement and the College. For individuals who are not prepared to make a report, or who may be unsure how to proceed, but are still seeking information and support, there are several legally-protected confidential resources available as designated below. These confidential resources will not share information with the College or anyone else without the individual’s permission.

On-Campus Confidential Resources:

a. Sexual Assault Survivor Advocate and Project SAFE Coordinator, Marianne Frapwell
b. Office for Religious & Spiritual Life
c. Employee Assistance Program
d. Emmons Student Wellness Center* Medical providers who treat a physical injury sustained from an assault, physical or sexual, are required by state law to report the assault to law enforcement.

Off-Campus Confidential Resources:

e. Peace Over Violence
f. Rape, Abuse and Incest National Network (RAINN)
g. National Domestic Violence Hotline

B. Confidential Medical Resources

In California, medical providers who treat a physical injury sustained from an assault, physical or sexual, are required by state law to report the assault to law enforcement. However, the patient has the right to request that a survivor advocate be present when the patient speaks with law enforcement and to request that law enforcement not pursue a criminal charge at that time. Further, the disclosure of private information contained in most medical
records is generally protected by HIPAA, and community medical providers will not notify the College (or anyone else, other than law enforcement).

Forensic examinations: Qualified providers can provide a forensic examination. The forensic medical exam has two goals: first, to diagnose and treat the full extent of any injury or physical effect (including prevention of sexually transmitted illnesses and pregnancy) and second, to properly collect and preserve evidence. There is a limited window of time (within 96 hours) following an incident of sexual assault to preserve physical and other forms of evidence, although it may be possible to obtain evidence from towels, sheets, clothes, and other items for longer periods of time. It is best to gather evidence prior to washing a person’s body or changing clothing. If clothes have been changed, the clothes worn at the time of the incident should be brought to the examination in a clean, sanitary container such as a paper bag or wrapped in a clean sheet. Do not use a plastic bag: plastic containers do not breathe and may render evidence useless. A change of clothing should also be brought to the hospital, as the clothes worn at the time of the incident will likely be kept as evidence.

Taking the steps to gather evidence immediately does not commit an individual to any particular course of action. The decision to seek timely medical attention and gather any evidence, however, will preserve the full range of options to seek resolution under this Policy or through the pursuit of criminal prosecution, and may be helpful in obtaining protective orders.

**Taxi vouchers** are available at Campus Safety, Emmons Student Wellness Center and Residential Education and Housing Services to provide free transportation to local medical centers. The Survivor Advocate is also available to accompany survivors to access off-campus resources. The following three off-campus medical centers are all designated by Los Angeles County as Sexual Assault Response Team (“SART”) Centers. While the specific process and resources each SART Center offers may vary, generally each SART Center will provide an immediate, victim-centered response following an incident of sexual assault, including collaborating between the hospital, law enforcement agencies, and sexual assault counselors to provide comprehensive treatment options.

- **Emmons Student Wellness Center** *Medical providers who treat a physical injury sustained from an assault, physical or sexual, are required by state law to report the assault to law enforcement.*

- **San Gabriel Valley Medical Center**

- **LA County/USC Medical Center**

- **Rape Treatment Center at Santa Monica-UCLA Medical Center**

Non-forensic medical examinations: A medical provider can provide emergency and/or follow-up medical services, including prophylactic care. On campus, Emmons Student Wellness Center can provide medical care; however, Emmons is not equipped for forensic examinations. As with off-campus medical providers, Emmons medical providers who treat a
physical injury sustained from an assault, physical or sexual, are required by state law to report the assault to law enforcement.

C. **Additional On-Campus & Off-Campus Resources**

In addition to the confidential resources listed above, Occidental community members have access to a variety of resources provided by the College. All of the on-campus reporting options listed in Section VI above have staff members trained to support individuals affected by Prohibited Conduct and to coordinate with the Title IX Coordinator consistent with the College’s commitment to a safe and healthy educational environment. While not bound by confidentiality, those resources will maintain the privacy of an individual’s information within the limited circle of those involved in the Title IX resolution process.

Students, faculty and staff may also access resources located in the local community in addition to those confidential resources above. These organizations can provide crisis intervention services, counseling, medical attention and assistance in dealing with the criminal justice system. It may be helpful for survivors to have someone who can help them explore their off-campus options and guide them through legal processes; an advocate can provide assistance in this area. Two local resources, Peace Over Violence and The Rape Treatment Center at Santa Monica UCLA Medical Center offer advocacy support.

Below are some additional on-campus and community resources.

- **Project SAFE (Sexual Assault Free Environment)**
- **Los Angeles LGBT Center**
- **California Women’s Law Center (CWLC)*** (for individuals of any sex or gender) *Please note, however, that the College does not endorse or recommend this organization (or their attorneys), and that the organization makes an independent decision about the cases that it will accept and the terms of the representation.

IX. **Prevention and Awareness Programs**

The College is committed to the prevention of Prohibited Conduct through regular and ongoing education and awareness programs. Incoming students and new employees receive primary prevention and awareness programming as part of their orientation and returning students and current employees receive ongoing training and related education. For a description of the College’s prevention and awareness programs, including programs on minimizing the risk of incidents of Prohibited Conduct and bystander intervention, see the Title IX website, or Project S.A.F.E.’s website.

X. **Training**

The College provides training to students and employees to ensure they understand this Policy
and the topics and issues related to maintaining an educational and employment environment free from all forms of Prohibited Conduct.

XI. List of Resources for Reporting, Care, And Support

Below is a list of additional reporting, care, and support options, with accompanying contact information.

- Bureau for Private Postsecondary Education
  2535 Capitol Oaks Drive, Suite 400
  Sacramento, CA 95833
  Telephone: (916) 431-6924
  FAX: (916) 263-1897
  Website: bppe.ca.gov

  The Bureau accepts all types of complaints related to the College and may refer any complaint it receives including complaints related to institutional policies or procedures, or both, to the College, an accrediting agency, or another appropriate entity for resolution. More information about filing a complaint with the Bureau can be found at: bppe.ca.gov/enforcement/faqs.shtml and bppe.ca.gov/enforcement/complaint.shtml.

- California Women’s Law Center (CWLC)

  The CWLC has attorneys available to serve as a resource/advisor to Occidental College complainants, including both men and women, who are filing Title IX sexual assault, harassment, or gender discrimination complaints and going through the Occidental College resolution process.

  Please note, however, that the College does not endorse or recommend this organization (or their attorneys), and that the organization makes an independent decision about the cases that it will accept and the terms of the representation.

  To request assistance, contact both:
  Laura Riley (laura.riley@cwlc.org) and Kylie Reynolds (kylie.reynolds@cwlc.org)
  360 North Sepulveda Blvd., Suite 2070
  El Segundo, CA 90245

- Campus Safety

  Available as a first option to report any incidents of crime or violence, including Prohibited Conduct. Also provides an escort service on campus to any student and can reach the Dean on Duty at any time. Available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

  (323) 259-2599 (front desk)
  (323) 259-2511 (emergency line) or dial 5 from any campus phone.
Can provide reasonable accommodations for housing, academic flexibility and No Contact Letters. Available on weekdays during regular office hours. Access to Dean on Duty 24 hours a day by calling Campus Safety at (323) 259-2599.

Office: Berkus Hall
Contact Information: deanofstudents@oxy.edu | (323) 259-2661

- **DFEH Los Angeles Office**

  Any employee may pursue any charge of discrimination or harassment with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (“DFEH”).

  320 West 4th Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90013
  (213) 439-6799 | [EEOC Los Angeles District Office](#)

  Any employee may pursue any charge of discrimination or harassment with the federal Equal Opportunity Employment Commission (“EEOC”).

  255 East Temple Street, 4th Floor
  Los Angeles, California 90012 | (213) 894-1000

- **Emmons Student Wellness Center**

  Provides confidential psychological counseling services. As detailed below, Emmons also provides medical treatment. *(323) 259-2657

*Medical providers who treat a physical injury sustained from an assault, physical or sexual, are required by state law to report the assault to law enforcement.

- **Employee Assistance Program**

  Provides confidential telephone consultation or face-to-face meeting with a master’s level consultant; also provides educational materials through an online library of downloadable materials and interactive tools.

  (800) 854-1446, English
  (877) 858-2147, Spanish
  [www.lifebalance.net](http://www.lifebalance.net) (User ID and password: life balance)

- **Human Resources**

  Addresses complaints of Prohibited Conduct against all employees (faculty, administration, and staff). Available on weekdays during regular office hours to respond to complaints related to employees of the College, including faculty.

  (323) 259-2613 | hr@oxy.edu

- **LA County/USC Medical Center**

  Provides medical treatment and forensic exams performed by Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners.
Los Angeles LGBT Center
Provides support and advocacy services for LGBT community members.

1625 N. Schrader Blvd.
Los Angeles 90028 | (323) 993-7400

LAPD (Los Angeles Police Department)
Available to respond to any reports of crime or violence, including Prohibited Conduct.

For Emergencies: 911
For concerning situations call dispatch at (877) ASK-LAPD [(877)-275-5273]

LAPD Northeast Division
Available to respond to any reports of crime or violence, including Prohibited Conduct. Occidental is located in the Northeast Division.

3353 North San Fernando Road Los Angeles, CA 90065
Front desk phone number: (323) 344-5701

National Domestic Violence Hotline
Advocates are available 24/7 to speak confidentially with anyone experiencing domestic violence, seeking resources or information, or questioning unhealthy aspects of their relationship.
(800) 799-7233

Office for Religious & Spiritual Life
Provides spiritual guidance and in the context of ordained clergy, confidential support.
(323) 259-2621

Title IX Office
Handles complaints of Prohibited Conduct involving all individuals. See Section V. A. above for more information.

Title IX Coordinator, Alexandra Fulcher
Available on weekdays during regular office hours.
afulcher@oxy.edu; (323) 259-1338 | AGC 111
Deputy Title IX Coordinator, Jacalyn Feigelman
Available on weekdays during regular office hours.
jacalynf@oxy.edu; (323) 259-2614

Deputy Title IX Coordinator, Heather Lukes
Available on weekdays during regular office hours.
lukes@oxy.edu; (323) 258-1340

- **Oxy Assault, Advocacy & Case Management Team (OAACM)**
  Provides a comprehensive support system to sexual assault survivors that includes advocacy, counseling, and medical services, as well as information about and linkage to forensic exams, legal support, and housing and academic accommodations. Team members include Marianne Frapwell (Survivor Advocate), Jenny Heetderks (Emmons psychologist), and Ann Martella (physician assistant).

- **Peace Over Violence**
  Provides comprehensive, free treatment for sexual assault survivors, including 24-hour emergency medical care and forensic services, counseling and psychotherapy, advocacy, and accompaniment services.

  1015 Wilshire Blvd.
  Los Angeles, CA 90017
  (213) 626-3393 or (626) 793-3385

- **Project S.A.F.E.**
  An on-campus prevention and education support program dedicated to ending sexual violence on campus through resources, advocacy, and educational programming related to issues of sexual violence and intimate partner violence.

  (323) 341-4750

- **Rape, Abuse and Incest National Network (RAINN)**
  A confidential, anonymous national sexual assault hotline. 1-(800) 656-4673

- **Rape Treatment Center at Santa Monica-UCLA Medical Center**
  Provides comprehensive, free treatment for sexual assault survivors, including 24-hour emergency medical care and forensic exams performed by Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners, counseling and psychotherapy, advocacy, and accompaniment services.

  1250 Sixteenth Street
  Santa Monica, California 90404 | (424) 259-7208 (24 hour hotline)
*Los Angeles County designated SART Center.

- **San Gabriel Valley Medical Center***
  Provides medical treatment and forensic exams performed by Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners; closest facility to campus.

  438 W. Las Tunas Dr.
  San Gabriel 91776 | (877) 209-3049

*Los Angeles County designated SART Center.

- **Sexual Assault Survivor Advocate and Project SAFE Coordinator, Marianne Frapwell**
  Accessible 24 hours a day to provide highly confidential crisis support and resource options to students who experience sexual assault of any kind. Support includes, but is not limited to, advising, case management, and accompanying survivors to rape treatment centers or medical services. As the Project SAFE Coordinator, conducts comprehensive outreach and educational programming.
  (323) 259-1359 | survivoradvocate@oxy.edu

- **United States Department of Education**
  Inquiries or complaints concerning the College’s compliance with Title IX and Title VI may be referred to the U.S. Department of Education’s Office for Civil Rights.

  Office for Civil Rights, San Francisco
  Office 50
  United Nations Plaza
  San Francisco, California 94102 (415) 486-5555
  Email: OCR@ed.gov
APPENDIX A: RESOLUTION OF GRIEVANCE AGAINST A STUDENT

Appendix A outlines the procedures the College follows in resolving allegations that a person has been subjected to Prohibited Conduct (“Complainant”) by a student who engaged in Prohibited Conduct (“Respondent”) in violation of the College’s Sexual Misconduct Policy. Complainant and Respondent will be referred to collectively as the “Parties.”

A. Complaint and Initial Assessment

A. Overview

An individual who wishes to report Prohibited Conduct by a student (including, but not limited to discrimination, harassment, or retaliation) is encouraged to report directly to the Title IX Coordinator, Deputy Title IX Coordinators and/or the Dean of Students Office. A student may also report discrimination, harassment, and/or retaliation to Campus Safety.

Discrimination, Harassment, or Retaliation on the Basis of Sex:

Title IX Office
Alexandra Fulcher, Title IX Coordinator
(323) 259-1338
afulcher@oxy.edu | AGC 111 Web: http://www.oxy.edu/office-title-ix

Jacalyn Feigelman, Title IX Deputy Coordinator
(323) 259-2614 | jcalynf@oxy.edu

Heather Lukes, Title IX Deputy Coordinator
323-258-1340 | lukes@oxy.edu

Campus Safety
Rick Tanksley, Director of Campus Safety
Safety Service Line: (323) 259-2599
Emergency Line: (323) 259-2511 rtanksley@oxy.edu
Web: http://www.oxy.edu/campus-safety

Prohibited conduct (discrimination, harassment or retaliation) based on protected status other than sex (e.g., race, color, age, disability, veteran status or other classification protected by federal or state law) is prohibited by other College policies. In the event of such complaints, the College will identify, based upon the allegations, the appropriate office to coordinate resolution of the report through the Grievance Process. The office which coordinates resolution of the report will identify a Civil Rights Grievance Process Coordinator (“Civil Rights Coordinator” or “CRC”) to monitor the Grievance Process as follows:

- The Title IX Office will coordinate resolution of all reports of Prohibited Conduct defined in the Sexual Misconduct Policy, including reports of
discrimination, harassment, and/or retaliation (Title IX prohibited conduct). The Title IX Coordinator or a Deputy Title IX Coordinator will be the CRC in all instances where the Complainant reports discrimination, harassment, and/or retaliation on the basis of sex.

- The Dean of Students Office will coordinate resolution of all reports of Prohibited Conduct defined in the Discrimination, Harassment, and Retaliation Policy (“DHR Policy”), including reports of discrimination, harassment, and/or retaliation other than Title IX prohibited conduct. The Dean of Students (or designee) will be the CRC for all reports by students of other prohibited conduct.

- In instances where a report sets out allegations of Prohibited Conduct under both the Sexual Misconduct Policy and the DHR Policy, the Title IX Coordinator or a Deputy Title IX Coordinator will serve as CRC for the entire complaint.

As described below, the Parties (Complainant(s) and Respondent(s)) will have the opportunity to object to the designated CRC on the grounds of an actual bias or conflict of interest. If either of the Parties objects, the Grievance Process will be suspended, and the Title IX Coordinator, a Deputy Title IX Coordinator, or other appropriate College administrator who is not the subject of the objection, will evaluate whether the objection is substantiated. The Parties will be notified in writing of the findings within two (2) business days. If the CRC is found to have an actual bias or conflict of interest against either Party, the CRC will be removed and replaced by another CRC. The Grievance Process will resume immediately upon a finding of no bias or conflict of interest, or upon the CRC’s replacement, whichever is first.

B. Initial Assessment

a. Intake Meeting

Upon receipt of a report, the Title IX Office (coordinator or deputies) will conduct an Intake Meeting as soon as possible after receiving a complaint. At that meeting, the CRC will address the following topics, as appropriate:

- Address immediate physical safety and emotional well-being needs;

- Notify the Complainant of the right to contact law enforcement and seek medical treatment (and the right to decline to do so), and the importance of preservation of evidence;

- Notify the Complainant of the right to be assisted by individuals at the College in contacting law enforcement;

- Notify the Complainant of confidential and non-confidential reporting options on- and off-campus;
• Provide the Complainant with information about:
  • On- and off-campus resources, including counseling, health, mental health, victim advocacy, legal assistance (including connecting a complainant with visa and immigration resources), student financial aid, and other available services;
  • The range of interim supportive measures and remedies, including reasonable changes to academic, living, transportation, and/or working situations, or other protective measures, which are available to the Complainant regardless of whether the Complainant files a formal complaint with the College, Campus Safety or local law enforcement;
• Provide an overview of the procedural options and process including Informal Resolution and Formal Resolution;
• Explain the right to object to the assignment of the designated CRC based on bias or conflict of interest within two (2) business days of a decision to proceed through the Grievance Process;
• Explain that the student has a right to an advisor of their choice during the process and provide a list of college employees trained as advisors upon request;
• Assess for pattern evidence or other similar conduct if possible;
• Explain the College’s alcohol and drug amnesty policy, if appropriate;
• Explain the College’s policy prohibiting retaliation;
• Explain that if the complaint involves a Clery crime, the CRC will notify the Clery Coordinator of the report to assess the need to (1) enter the report into the College’s daily crime log; and (2) issue a timely warning as defined by the Clery Act and assure the Complainant that any such reports will not include the Complainant’s personally identifying information.

Following the meeting, the CRC will provide the Complainant with the above-listed information in writing, if not previously provided. As described in the Sexual Misconduct Policy, the Complainant has the right to request that the College take no formal action in response to the report. If the Complainant makes such a request, the CRC will balance the request with its obligation to provide a safe and nondiscriminatory environment for all College community members. The CRC will make this determination consistent with the following considerations, namely (1) the seriousness of the conduct; (2) the respective ages and roles of the Complainant and the Respondent; (3) whether there have been other complaints or reports of Prohibited Conduct against the Respondent; (4) whether a weapon was used, or there is an allegation of predation; and, (5) whether there are multiple alleged complainants and
respondents associated with the same incident. Should the CRC determine that, in response to the Complainant’s request, the College can satisfy its obligations to the Complainant, the College community members, and the Respondent without proceeding through the Grievance Process described herein, the CRC has the discretion to do so.

b. Threshold Determination: Does the Complainant’s Report Allege a Potential Violation of the College’s Sexual Misconduct Policy?

The CRC will determine whether the Complainant’s report alleges a potential violation of the College’s Sexual Misconduct Policy. This determination is not intended to screen complaints from the Grievance Process. Rather, its purpose is to determine whether the conduct as stated could constitute a violation of the College’s Sexual Misconduct Policy. For example, if a Complainant alleges that a Respondent engaged in Prohibited Conduct as defined by the Sexual Misconduct Policy but did not allege facts demonstrating that the conduct was sexual in nature, or on the basis of sex, the College likely would determine that the Complainant’s report does not allege a potential violation of the College’s Sexual Misconduct Policy. The standard for the threshold determination is “preponderance of the evidence,” i.e. that it is more likely than not that the Complainant’s report does not state facts that, if true, could constitute a violation of the College’s Sexual Misconduct Policy.

If the CRC determines that the Complainant’s report states facts, which, if true, could constitute a violation of the College’s Sexual Misconduct Policy, the CRC will offer the Parties the option of proceeding through Formal or Informal Resolution. The CRC will communicate the threshold determination finding in writing to the Complainant. If the CRC determines that the Complainant’s report does not state facts that, if true, could constitute a violation of the College’s Sexual Misconduct Policy, the Complainant may still file a report with the Office of Student Conduct, federal Office for Civil Rights, the police, or seek available civil remedies through the judicial system. The Complainant also may re-file the report under the Sexual Misconduct Policy upon discovery of additional facts.

B. Informal Resolution.

When the Complainant requests Informal Resolution and the CRC agrees that Informal Resolution is appropriate, the CRC will provide the Complainant and Respondent written notice disclosing: (1) the nature of the report; (2) a summary of the requirements for the Formal and Informal Resolution processes; and (3) an explanation of the consequences of participating in the Informal Resolution process, including a summary of the records that will be maintained or could be shared if the Parties elect Informal Resolution. The CRC will then ask the Complainant and Respondent, separately, whether they would agree to pursue resolution of the complaint informally.

Participation in Informal Resolution is voluntary. Even if the Parties agree to Informal Resolution, it is within the discretion of the CRC to determine that a report must proceed through Formal Resolution in certain cases (e.g., where a Respondent is alleged to have violated the Sexual Misconduct Policy on multiple occasions or with multiple Complainants or where
the reported conduct, if true, presents a threat to the safety of the Occidental community). Once the final terms of an Informal Resolution have been agreed upon by both parties, in writing, the matter shall not then proceed to a formal investigation unless both parties agree, in writing, to do so.

Any resolution reached through an informal process will be confirmed in writing and provided to the Parties within five (5) business days of reaching a resolution. If any Party does not voluntarily agree in writing to pursue Informal Resolution, or if the Complainant, Respondent, or CRC, at any time, determines that Informal Resolution is no longer appropriate, the CRC will promptly inform the Complainant and Respondent in writing that the complaint will proceed through Formal Resolution.

C. **Formal Resolution of Complaint**

A. **Formal Resolution**

If (1) the CRC determines that the Complainant’s report must proceed through Formal Resolution; (2) either the Complainant or Respondent declines to pursue Informal Resolution; or (3) either the Complainant or Respondent fails to respond to the CRC’s inquiry regarding Informal Resolution, the CRC will notify both Parties, in writing, that the complaint will proceed through Formal Resolution. The CRC’s written notification to the Respondent and Complainant will include:

1. Complainant’s name
2. Nature of the report
   - Specific policy violation(s) alleged (e.g., sexual assault, sexual harassment, retaliation)
   - Date(s) of alleged policy violation(s)
   - Approximate time(s) of alleged policy violation(s)
   - Location(s) of alleged policy violation(s)
   - Brief description of allegation(s)
3. A statement that the Respondent is presumed not responsible for the alleged conduct and that a determination regarding responsibility will be made at the conclusion of the Formal Resolution process
4. A statement that the Parties have the right to review and inspect all evidence being considered by the investigator or Hearing Chair during the Formal Resolution process, consistent with this Policy.
5. A statement regarding the College’s policy related to false reports and making knowingly false statements during the Formal Resolution process.
If the Respondent has not yet been provided an opportunity to object to the designated CRC based on bias or conflict of interest, the CRC will also notify the Respondent of the right to do so within two (2) business days of receipt of the notice of Formal Resolution. As noted above, the Complainant will have been provided the same opportunity after the Intake Meeting. The notice of the complaint shall also be accompanied with a request for a meeting (which may be in person, or via video conference if the Respondent is not on campus) with the CRC within three (3) business days. At the meeting, or if the Respondent does not respond to the meeting request or is unable to meet within three business (3) days, the CRC shall provide the following information in writing:

- On- and off-campus resources, including counseling, health, mental health, legal assistance (including connecting Respondent with visa and immigration advisory resources), student financial aid, and other available services.

- The range of supportive measures and remedies available, including changes to academic, living, transportation, and/or working situations, or other supportive measures as may be appropriate.

- An overview of the procedural options and process, including Informal Resolution and Formal Resolution

- Explain that the student has a right to an advisor of their choice during the process and provide a list of College employees trained as advisors upon request

- The College’s alcohol and drug amnesty policy

- The College’s policy prohibiting retaliation

Concurrently, the CRC will select a trained internal or external investigator (the “Investigator”) to conduct a reasonable, impartial, and prompt investigation of the complaint (“Investigation”). The CRC will select an Investigator based on several factors, including the Parties involved, the complexity of the complaint, the need to avoid any potential conflict of interest, and who may best conduct a fair and equitable investigation for all Parties involved. The CRC will notify the Parties, in writing, of the name of the designated Investigator at the time the CRC issues the notice of formal resolution. The Parties will have three (3) business days to object to the Investigator’s selection on the basis of bias or conflict of interest. If either of the Parties objects, the CRC will evaluate whether the objection is substantiated. The CRC will remove and replace any Investigator the CRC finds to have an actual bias or conflict of interest against either Party.

The Investigator will commence the investigation once the time for the Parties to object has passed or any objections have been resolved. The Investigator, in consultation with the CRC, will establish a timeline and process for conducting the Investigation. The Investigation will be conducted in stages, as follows:

a. Preliminary Investigation
The Investigator will begin with a Preliminary Investigation, with a recommended timeline of thirty (30) calendar days. The purpose of a Preliminary Investigation is to identify and gather all relevant facts. Generally, the Investigator will conduct the Preliminary Investigation in the following order:

1. **Step One: Initial Fact-Gathering.** The Investigator will interview all Parties and relevant witnesses and gather documentary evidence provided by the Parties and any identified witnesses. The Investigator will prepare a summary of each interview (“Interview Summary”). The Investigator will share the Interview Summary with the interviewee. The interviewee will have three (3) business days opportunity to correct or comment on any statements made in the Interview Summary. The deadline may be extended for good cause, upon request to the investigator. If the interviewee has no corrections to, or comments on, the Interview Summary, the interviewee will sign an acknowledgement that the interviewee has reviewed and agrees that the Interview Summary is accurate. If the interviewee has corrections or comments to the Interview Summary, the interviewee may submit a written response within three (3) days reflecting any additions or changes which the interviewee believes are necessary to ensure the accuracy of the interviewee’s statement. If no response is received from the interviewee by the deadline, their Interview Summary may be included in the Summary of Evidence Report and will be presumed to be accurate. In all instances where the Investigator includes the Interview Summary as an exhibit to a report, the Investigator will also include any response.

2. **Step Two: Evidence Review.** Once the Initial Fact-Gathering is complete, the CRC will provide the Interview Summaries and any documentary evidence gathered to the Parties for review. Given the sensitive nature of the information provided, the CRC will provide the information in a secure manner (e.g., by providing digital copies of the materials through a protected, “read-only” web portal). Neither the Complainant nor the Respondent (or their advisors, including but not limited to family members and/or legal counsel) may copy, remove, photograph, print, image, videotape, record, or in any manner otherwise duplicate or remove the information provided. Any student who fails to abide by this policy may be subject to discipline. Any advisor who fails to abide by this policy may be subject to discipline and/or may be excluded from further participation in the process.

The Parties may respond to the Interview Summaries and any documentary evidence; the Parties will submit any response within ten (10) calendar days of being notified of their opportunity to review the documents. The Parties may respond in one or both of the following ways:

- The Parties may provide a written response. The Investigator will incorporate any written response provided by the Parties in the Summary of Evidence Report.

- The Parties may submit a written request for additional investigation. Such requests may include, but are not limited to, the following: (1) request(s) for follow-up interview(s) with existing witnesses to clarify or provide additional
information, including offering questions to the investigator to pose to witnesses; (2) request(s) for interviews with new witnesses; or (3) request(s) to consider new evidence. Any request for additional investigation shall explain the reason for the request.

3. **Step Three: Rebuttal Fact-Gathering.** The Investigator may conduct follow-up interviews with the Parties and witnesses based upon testimonial and documentary evidence gathered in Step One and the Parties’ request for additional investigation, if any. The Parties and witnesses can expect that, in these follow-up interviews, the Investigator will seek responses to specific allegations or evidence (e.g., an Investigator may show one of the Parties a series of text messages between himself or herself and another witness and ask about the content of the text messages). To the extent additional material, witnesses or evidence are identified during Step Three, the Investigator will conduct additional interviews and gather additional evidence consistent with the procedures outlined in Steps One and Two. Steps One and Two may be repeated as necessary to ensure a complete gathering of evidence.

Any and all information for consideration by the Hearing Officer should be provided to the investigator during Steps 1, 2, or 3. Information that was not provided to the Investigator will not be allowed during the hearing itself, unless it can be clearly demonstrated that such information was not reasonably known to or available to the Parties at the time of the investigation.

4. **Step Four: Summary of Evidence Report.** The Investigator will prepare a Summary of Evidence Report summarizing the facts and evidence gathered in the course of the Preliminary Investigation. The Investigator will not state factual findings or ultimate findings as to whether the Respondent has, or has not, violated one or more of the College’s policies in the Summary of Evidence Report. The Investigator will attach as exhibits to the Summary of Evidence Report all Interview Summaries and any documentary evidence gathered in the Preliminary Investigation, including any written responses to the evidence submitted by the Parties. When the Investigator determines that the Preliminary Investigation is complete, the Investigator will submit the Summary of Evidence Report to the CRC. The CRC may require the Investigator to conduct additional investigation; if so, the Investigator will conduct additional investigation consistent with the procedures outlined above.

Once the CRC has agreed that the Preliminary Investigation is complete, within five (5) business days, the CRC will provide the Summary of Evidence Report to the Parties. The Parties will have ten (10) calendar days to review the Summary of Evidence Report and provide a response to the CRC. The CRC will provide the Parties’ response, if any, to the Hearing Officer, and to the other Party. Given the sensitive nature of the information provided, the CRC will provide the Summary of Evidence Report in a secure manner (e.g., by providing digital copies of the materials through a protected, “read-only” web portal).
Neither the Complainant nor the Respondent (or their advisors, including but not limited to family members and/or legal counsel) may copy, remove, photograph, print, image, videotape, record, or in any manner otherwise duplicate or remove the information provided. An advisor who fails to abide by this policy may be subject to discipline and/or may be excluded from further participation in the process.

b. Hearing

The hearing is an opportunity for the Parties to address the Hearing Officer in person, to question the other Party and/or witnesses through indirect Cross-Examination, and for the Hearing Officer to obtain information following the investigation that is necessary to make a determination of whether a Sexual Misconduct Policy violation occurred. The hearing will be conducted as follows:

1. Hearing Officer. The hearing will be conducted by a Hearing Officer, who is selected by the College. The Hearing Officer will be an individual other than the Investigator or CRC. The Hearing Officer will receive annual training regarding the College’s policies and procedures, the handling of student sexual misconduct cases, and other relevant issues.

   The Hearing Officer must be impartial and free from bias or conflict of interest. The Parties will be informed of the identity of the Hearing Officer and vice versa before the pre-hearing meeting. If the Hearing Officer has concerns that they cannot conduct a fair or unbiased review, the Hearing Officer must report those concerns in advance of the pre-hearing meeting to the CRC and a different Hearing Officer will be assigned. Similarly, the Parties will have three (3) business days to object to the Hearing Officer’s selection on the basis of bias or conflict of interest. If any Party objects, the CRC will evaluate whether the objection is substantiated. The CRC will remove and replace any Hearing Officer the CRC finds to have a bias or conflict of interest against any Party.

   The Hearing Officer has broad discretion to determine the hearing format. However, in all instances in which a Respondent faces severe disciplinary sanctions (i.e., expulsion or suspension), and the credibility of any Party or witness is central to the adjudication of the allegation, the Hearing Officer shall conduct a live hearing, at which they shall permit indirect cross-examination of the Parties and witnesses. For purposes of this policy, the term Indirect Cross-Examination means the Hearing Officer will allow the Parties and/or their advisors to submit proposed questions for the Parties and witnesses, as well as follow-up questions based on testimony provided during the hearing. The Hearing Officer will ask the Parties and witnesses the proposed questions that the Hearing Officer determines are consistent with this Policy and are probative of facts relevant to the adjudication of the matter. The Hearing Officer may refuse to allow questions that are unduly harassing or that seek information that is not relevant under this Policy.

   The Hearing Officer is responsible for maintaining an orderly, fair, and respectful hearing and has broad authority to respond to disruptive or harassing behaviors,
including adjourning the hearing or excluding the offending person.

Parties may make requests to the CRC related to the format or the nature of their participation in the hearing. The CRC will work with the Hearing Officer to accommodate reasonable requests, including the option for the hearing to occur with the Parties located in separate rooms with technology enabling the decision-maker and the Parties to simultaneously see and hear the Party answering questions.

2. **Scheduling.** The CRC will schedule a hearing date, time, and location and notify the Parties of the same. The Hearing Officer will strive to hold a hearing within fourteen (14) business days from receipt of the Summary of Evidence Report and the Parties’ responses thereto, if any.

3. **Pre-Hearing Meeting.** Prior to the hearing, or, at the latest, on the day of the hearing, the Hearing Officer or a designated Hearing Coordinator will conduct a pre-hearing meeting with the Parties for approximately thirty minutes before commencing the hearing. The pre-hearing meeting may occur with the Parties located in separate rooms with technology enabling the Parties to simultaneously see and hear the Hearing Officer. At this pre-hearing meeting, each Party will receive an explanation of the hearing process and have the opportunity to ask any questions. If the Complainant and/or Respondent have elected to have advisors throughout the hearing process, the advisor is encouraged to accompany the Complainant/Respondent to this initial meeting.

4. **Witnesses.** The Complainant, Respondent, and the Hearing Officer all have the right to call witnesses. Witnesses must have observed the conduct in question or have information relevant to the incident and cannot be called solely to speak about an individual’s character. In general, no Party will be permitted to call as a witness anyone who was not interviewed by the Investigator as part of the College’s Investigation.

If any Party wishes to call witnesses, whether or not they were previously interviewed as part of the College’s Investigation, the following must be submitted no later than five (5) business days before the hearing to the Hearing Officer and CRC via e-mail or in hardcopy format:

- The name of any witness (es)
- A written statement and/or description of what each witness observed, if not already provided during Investigation;
- A summary of why the witness’ presence is relevant to making a decision about responsibility at the hearing; and
- The reason the witness was not interviewed by the Investigator, if applicable.

The Hearing Officer will determine if the proffered witness(es) has relevant information and if there is sufficient justification for permitting a witness who was not interviewed by the Investigator. The Hearing Officer may also require the
Investigator to interview the newly proffered witness.

When witnesses are approved to be present, the Respondent and Complainant will be provided with a list of witnesses and any relevant documents related to the witnesses’ appearance at the hearing no later than three (3) business days before the hearing.

5. **Case Presentation.** The hearing is intended to provide a fair and ample opportunity for each side to present their account of the incident and for the Hearing Officer to determine the facts of the case and make a determination as to whether College policy was violated. The hearing is not intended to be a repeat of the Investigation. The Hearing Officer will be well-versed in the facts of the case based upon the Summary of Evidence Report and the Parties’ responses to the Summary of Evidence Report, if any. The Hearing Officer will make a hardcopy of the Summary of Evidence Report, the parties’ responses to the Summary of Evidence Report, Interview Summaries, and any documentary evidence provided to the Investigator available to the Parties for their use during the hearing.

The Complainant and the Respondent, their advisors, and the Hearing Officer will attend the hearing. Advisors may only be present during the hearing if the Party they are advising is also present. A Hearing Coordinator designated by the College shall be present to ensure the orderly handling of the hearing. Other College administrators may attend at the request of or with the prior approval of the Hearing Officer, but the Parties will be notified in advance of anyone who will be in attendance. Any individual appearing as a witness will be present only while providing a statement and responding to questions.

The Hearing Officer has absolute discretion to decide upon a format for the hearing and to determine which witnesses are relevant to the outcome determination. A Hearing Officer may decline to hear from a witness where they conclude that the information is not necessary for their outcome determination.

A typical hearing may include brief opening remarks by the Hearing Officer; questions posed by the Hearing Officer to one or both of the Parties; questions by the Hearing Officer to any witness; and indirect cross-examination by either Party to any party or witnesses.

The Hearing Officer also will afford any Party an opportunity at the end of the hearing to offer closing remarks. A decision whether to offer closing remarks is completely voluntary; however, closing remarks may only be made by the Parties, and not their advisors. The Hearing Officer shall have discretion to impose time limits on closing remarks.

6. **Expectations of the Complainant, Respondent, and Witnesses at a Hearing.** Students, staff, and faculty have the responsibility to participate fully and truthfully in any proceeding under this Policy. If any Party chooses not to appear at the
hearing, they will not be permitted to cross-examine the witnesses or any Party during the hearing, nor will they be permitted to offer witnesses, documents, or a closing statement. If any Party chooses not to appear at the hearing, the College may move forward with the hearing and imposition of findings and sanctions, if any, in absentia. In reaching findings in absentia, the Hearing Officer may rely on: (1) any information in the Summary of Evidence Report; (2) any documentary evidence disclosed to the Investigator; (3) any statements made during the hearing; and (4) any documentary evidence presented at the hearing. If the Complainant chooses not to appear at the hearing, the College’s ability to fully investigate, adjudicate, and respond to the complaint may be limited.

7. **Record of Hearing.** The hearing and any pre-hearing meetings or conferences are closed to the public. The Complainant and the Respondent are each allowed to have one advisor of their choice present throughout the hearing process. The College shall keep an official transcript or recording of the hearing; any other recording is prohibited. No camera, TV, or other equipment, including cellphones, will be permitted in the hearing room, except as arranged by the College.

8. **Standard of Evidence.** The Hearing Officer will determine a Respondent’s responsibility by a preponderance of the evidence. This means that the Hearing Officer will decide whether it is “more likely than not,” based upon all of the evidence, that the Respondent is responsible for the alleged violation(s).

9. **Notice of Hearing Outcome.** Following the hearing, the Hearing Officer will consider all of the evidence and make a determination, by a preponderance of the evidence, whether the Respondent has violated the Sexual Misconduct Policy. In making this determination, the Hearing Officer will independently review and assess all evidence collected and presented at the hearing. Where appropriate, the Hearing Officer may also assess credibility. The Hearing Officer will issue a written notice of hearing outcome (the “Final Report”), which will contain the Hearing Officer’s factual findings, determination of whether a Sexual Misconduct Policy violation occurred, and a summary of the Hearing Officer’s rationale in support of the hearing outcome. The Hearing Officer will strive to issue the Final Report within fourteen (14) business days of the hearing.

If the Respondent is found responsible for a violation of the College’s Sexual Misconduct Policy, the Formal Resolution process concludes with Sanctions, described in Section C. If the Respondent is found not to have violated the College’s Sexual Misconduct Policy, the Formal Resolution has concluded. At the conclusion of the Formal Resolution Process, any Party may appeal upon the grounds described in Section D.

2. **Informal Resolution**

As an alternative to Formal Resolution, the Parties may choose to resolve complaints through Informal Resolution.

The Parties may proceed to Informal Resolution only if the Complainant and Respondent both
agree in writing to informal resolution. Even if the Parties agree to Informal Resolution, it is within the discretion of the CRC to determine that a report must proceed through Formal Resolution in certain cases (e.g., where a Respondent is alleged to have violated the Sexual Misconduct Policy on multiple occasions or with multiple Complainants or where the reported conduct, if true, presents a threat to the safety of the Occidental community). If all Parties agree to Informal Resolution and the Respondent has not yet been provided an opportunity to object to the designated CRC based on bias or conflict of interest, the CRC will also notify the Respondent of the right to do so within two (2) business days of receipt of such notice. As described above, the Complainant will have been provided the same opportunity after the Intake Meeting.

The nature of Informal Resolution is flexible, and not all complaints resolved through Informal Resolution will proceed in uniform fashion. Typically, however, the CRC will communicate separately with each Party to discuss potential alternative resolutions. The CRC is not a fact-finder. Possible alternative resolution(s) may include, but are not limited to:

- Permanent no-contact order between the students
- Academic or residential reassignment
- Written apology and/or explanation of the circumstances surrounding the grievance
- Educational remedies
- Community service

If the Parties reach agreement, the matter is closed. If not, the CRC will proceed with Formal Resolution set forth in Section B, above. Any Party (including the CRC) may terminate the Informal Resolution process at any time prior to its finalization. In that event, the CRC will notify the Parties in writing and will describe next steps and timeframes for the Formal Resolution.

D. Sanctions

If the report proceeds through Formal Resolution and the Respondent is found responsible for one or more violations of the College’s antidiscrimination policies, the College will issue sanctions commensurate with the violation(s). The CRC will designate three trained individuals to serve on a three-person panel (“Review Panel”) to determine sanctions. The CRC will notify the Parties, in writing, of the name of the designated Review Panelists within three (3) business days of receipt of the Final Report. The Parties will have three (3) business days to object to any Review Panelist on the basis of actual bias or conflict of interest. If any Party objects, the CRC will evaluate whether the objection is substantiated. The CRC will remove and replace any Review Panelist the CRC finds to have a bias or conflict of interest against either Party.

The CRC will notify the Parties that they have the opportunity to submit an Impact Statement to the Review Panel within five (5) business days of the receipt of the Final Report. The Review Panel will review the Parties’ Impact Statements, and all other materials in the case, including the Final Report and attached exhibits, in assessing the appropriate sanction. The
Review Panel does not have the power or ability to alter the findings (factual or ultimate) by the Hearing Officer.

The Review Panel has the discretion to recommend a variety of sanctions consistent with the Sanctioning Guidelines. If the Review Panel deviates from the Sanctioning Guidelines, the Review Panel must provide an explanation to the CRC, in writing, of the exceptional circumstances of the case that merit deviation. In all instances, the CRC has the discretion to reject the Review Panel’s deviation and implement sanctions consistent with the Sanctioning Guidelines.

The Review Panel will issue a recommendation to the CRC regarding sanctions within five (5) business days of receiving all materials in the case, including any Impact Statements submitted by the Parties. The CRC will issue final sanctions within three (3) business days of receipt of the Review Panel Recommendation. The CRC will issue the sanctions recommended by the Review Panel unless (1) the Review Panel recommends sanctions that deviate from established Sanctioning Guidelines (without demonstrable justification); or (2) the Review Panel recommends sanctions that the CRC believes will not effectively stop the alleged harassment, prevent its recurrence, or remedy its effects. The CRC will notify the Parties of the sanctions simultaneously in writing.

The CRC also has the discretion to review any interim protective or remedial measures to determine whether they should be changed or are no longer applicable.

Any one or more of the sanctions listed here may be imposed on a Respondent who is found responsible for a violation of the College’s Sexual Misconduct Policy. Sanctions not listed here may be imposed in consultation with and approval by the CRC. Sanctions are assessed in response to the specific violation(s) and any prior discipline history of the Respondent. Sanctions are effective immediately. If the Respondent appeals the findings contained in the Final Report, the sanctions will continue in effect during the appeal. The sanctions may be lifted only if, as a result of the final outcome of the appeal, the Respondent is found not responsible for one or more of the original policy violations submitted to the Review Panel.

Possible sanctions include, but are not limited to:

1. **Warning:** Notice, in writing, that continuation or repetition of Prohibited Conduct may be cause for additional disciplinary action.

2. **Censure:** A written reprimand for violating the Sexual Misconduct Policy. This conduct status specifies a period of time during which the student’s good standing with the College may be in jeopardy. The student is officially warned that continuation or repetition of Prohibited Conduct may be cause for additional conduct action including probation, suspension, or expulsion from the College.

3. **Disciplinary Probation:** Exclusion from participation in privileged activities for a specified period of time (privileged activities may include, but are not
limited to, elected or appointed ASOC offices, student research, athletics, some student employment, and study abroad). Additional restrictions or conditions may also be imposed. Violations of the terms of disciplinary probation or any other College policy violations may result in further disciplinary action.

4. **Restitution**: Repayment to the College or to an affected Party for damages (amount to be determined by the College) resulting from a violation of this Code. To enforce this sanction, the College reserves the right to withhold its transcripts and degrees or to deny a student participation in graduation ceremonies and privileged events.

5. **Removal from Campus Housing**: Students may be removed from College housing and/or barred from applying for campus housing due to disciplinary violations of this Code.

6. **Suspension**: Exclusion from College premises, attending classes, and other privileges or activities for a specified period of time, as set forth in the suspension notice. Notice of this action will remain in the student’s conduct file and will be permanently recorded on the student’s academic transcript. Conditions for readmission may be specified in the suspension notice.

7. **Expulsion**: Permanent termination of student status and exclusion from College premises, privileges, and activities. This action will be permanently recorded on the student’s academic transcript.

8. **Revocation of Admission and/or Degree**: Admission to, or a degree awarded by, the College may be revoked for fraud, misrepresentation in obtaining the degree or violation of College policies, the Student Code of Conduct or for other serious violations committed by a student prior to enrollment or graduation.

9. **Withholding Degree**: The College may withhold awarding a degree otherwise earned until the completion of the process set forth in this Policy, including the completion of all sanctions imposed, if any.

10. **Other**: Other sanctions may be imposed instead of, or in addition to, those specified here. Service, education or research projects may also be assigned.

11. **Multiple Sanctions**: More than one of the sanctions listed above may be imposed for any single violation.

Suspension, expulsion, and administrative matter pending are permanently noted on a student’s transcript.

*Remedies and Non-Disciplinary, Administrative Measures*

The CRC has the discretion to take non-disciplinary administrative action to stop harassment,
prevent its recurrence, and remedy its effects. This may include action addressed toward the Respondent, the campus community, and/or the Complainant, as follows:

**Respondent:** Any student found responsible for engaging in Prohibited Conduct, who is not permanently separated from the College, will be required to, in addition to other sanctions consistent with the Sanctioning Guidelines, complete non-disciplinary, educational initiatives at the discretion of the CRC.

**Campus Community:** In all instances where a student is found responsible for engaging in Prohibited Conduct, the CRC will consider and determine whether non-disciplinary, remedial, community-based responses are appropriate. Such measures may include educational initiatives and/or trainings, climate surveys, targeted assessment of high-risk campus areas, or other appropriate measures.

**Complainant:** The CRC will continue to provide support to the Complainant as appropriate, including the ongoing provision of appropriate accommodations.

**E. Appeal**

Both Complainant and Respondent have a limited right to appeal from the findings set out in the Final Report as follows:

1. **Complainant:** If the Hearing Officer concludes that the Respondent did not violate a policy that the Complainant believes the Respondent violated, the Complainant has five (5) business days from receiving written notice of the Final Report to submit a written appeal to the CRC. If the Respondent has been found responsible for one or more policy violations, but not others, the Complainant may submit a written appeal to the CRC within five (5) business days of notice of the sanction.

2. **Respondent:** If the Hearing Officer’s final decision is that the Respondent did violate one or more policies, the Respondent has five (5) business days from receiving written notice of the sanction to submit a written appeal to the CRC.

The Party who submits the written appeal will be the “Appellant,” and the responding Party will be the “Appellee.” The Appellant’s written appeal must be based on one of two grounds: (1) Significant Procedural Error; or (2) New Information. Each ground is explained in greater detail:

1. **Significant Procedural Error:** A procedural error occurred that significantly impacted the outcome of the investigation or hearing as it applies to the Appellant (e.g. substantiated bias, material deviation from established procedures, etc.). A description of the error and its impact on the outcome of the case must be included in the written appeal; or

2. **New Information:** New information has arisen that was not available or known to the Appellant during the investigation or hearing and that could
significantly impact the findings. Information that was known to the Appellant during the investigation or hearing but which s/he chose not to present is not new information. A summary of this new evidence and its potential impact on the investigation findings must be included in the written appeal.

Appellee will have five (5) business days to submit a response. At the conclusion of these time periods, the CRC will refer the written appeal, including the Final Report, exhibits attached to the Final Report, and Impact Statements (the “Appeal Record”), to an Appeal Officer.

The CRC will appoint the Appeal Officer from a member of the trained Appeals Panel and notify the Parties of that appointment within five (5) business days of receipt of the written appeal. The Parties will have five (5) business days to object to the Appeal Officer’s selection on the basis of bias or conflict of interest. The CRC will consider any objection on the grounds of bias or conflict of interest. If the CRC determines that an Appeal Officer may have bias or conflict of interest, the CRC will remove the Appeal Officer and designate a new Appeal Officer.

The role of the Appeal Officer is limited. Appeals are not intended to be a full rehearing of the complaint. Appeals are confined to a review of the Appeal Record for the grounds stated above. The findings contained in the Hearing Officer’s Final Report are presumed to have been decided reasonably and appropriately. The Appellant carries the burden of proof to demonstrate that either the alleged error, or the proposed new evidence, would significantly and materially impact the outcome of the proceeding.

The Appeal Officer will determine whether any grounds for the appeal are substantiated. If the Appeal Officer determines that the Request for Appeal does not meet the standards for an Appeal under this Grievance Process, the Appeal Officer will notify the Parties of that outcome within ten (10) business days of receipt of both the Appellant’s appeal, and the Appellee’s response. If the Appeal Officer determines that the Request for Appeal does meet the standards for an Appeal under this Grievance Process, the Appeal Officer will take appropriate action as indicated below.

• **Procedural Error:** If it is determined that a procedural error occurred that was substantially prejudicial to the outcome of the investigation or hearing, the Appeal Officer may return the complaint to the Investigator or Hearing Officer with instructions to correct the error, and to reconsider the findings as appropriate. In rare cases, where the procedural error cannot be corrected by the original Investigator or Hearing Officer (as in cases of bias), the Appeal Officer may order a new investigation with a new Investigator or a new hearing with a new Hearing Officer.

• **New Information:** If the Appeal Officer determines that new information should be considered, the complaint will be returned to the Hearing Officer to reconsider the complaint in light of the new information only, and to reconsider the original findings as appropriate. The Hearing Officer will prepare an Addendum to the Final Report.
Notification of Appeal Outcome

Appellant and Appellee will generally be notified in writing of the outcome of the appeal within ten (10) business days of receipt of Appellee’s response statement. The Appeal Officer’s decision is final and is not subject to appeal.

F. Additional Provisions

1. Students: Advisor of Choice

All persons who are a Complainant or a Respondent to this grievance process are permitted to bring an Advisor of their own choosing, including a family member or an attorney, to provide support. An Advisor may not be a witness. The Advisor may accompany the student Party to any and all portions of the grievance process. Other than submitting proposed questions for the Parties and witnesses as part of Indirect Cross-Examination at the hearing, the Advisor may not participate directly in, or interfere with, the proceedings. Although reasonable attempts will be made to schedule proceedings consistent with an Advisor’s availability, the process will not be delayed to schedule the proceedings at the convenience of the Advisor. The CRC has the discretion to remove the Advisor from the proceedings if the Advisor interferes with the proceedings.

2. Training

The College provides training to all individuals within the community who are involved in responding to, investigating, or resolving reports of Prohibited Conduct. If the College retains an individual outside the community to respond to, investigate, or resolve reports of Prohibited Conduct, the College requires the retained individual has received adequate training consistent with the College’s standards. All advisors, including those that are not members of the College community, shall be offered training on the College’s policy.

3. Notifications

The CRC will make reasonable efforts to ensure that the Complainant and the Respondent simultaneously are notified of the status of the Grievance Process, consistent with the processes described herein. The Parties may, at any time, request a status update from the CRC.

4. Modification of Grievance Process

The College will follow the Grievance Process described herein barring exceptional circumstances. In rare instances, however, the College may be required to adapt or modify the Grievance Process (including timelines) to ensure prompt and equitable resolution of a report of Prohibited Conduct. The College reserves this right. In such instances, the College will notify the Parties of the modification of the Grievance Process and, if appropriate, the exceptional circumstances requiring the College to adapt or modify the Grievance Process.

5. Alcohol and Substance Use
The purpose of this Grievance Process is to resolve reports of discrimination, harassment, and retaliation against individuals because of sex or gender. It is not the purpose of this Grievance Process to subject individuals to disciplinary action for their own personal consumption of alcohol or drugs. Consistent with the purpose of the Grievance Process, the College will not subject individuals who participate in the Grievance Process to disciplinary action for information revealed in the course of the Grievance Process, provided that the individual’s behavior did not, and does not, place the health and safety of others at risk. In all instances, the College may pursue educational initiatives or remedies related to an individual’s consumption of alcohol or drugs.

The use of alcohol or other drugs will never function as a defense for engaging in Prohibited Conduct.

6. Past Sexual History

In general, a Complainant’s prior sexual history is not relevant and will not be provided to the Investigator or Hearing Officer. Where there is a current or ongoing relationship between the Complainant and the Respondent, and the Respondent alleges consent, the prior sexual history between the Parties may be relevant to assess the manner and nature of communications between the Parties. As noted in the Sexual Misconduct Policy, however, the mere fact of a current or previous dating or sexual relationship, by itself, is not sufficient to constitute consent. Any prior sexual history of the Complainant with other individuals is typically not relevant and will not be permitted.

7. Respondent’s Prior Conduct History

Where there is evidence of a pattern of conduct similar in nature by the Respondent, either prior, or subsequent, to the conduct in question, regardless of whether there has been a finding of responsibility, this information may be deemed relevant and probative to the Hearing Officer’s determination of responsibility, and/or the Review Panel’s determination of sanction. The CRC will make a determination of relevance based on an assessment of whether the previous incident was substantially similar to the conduct cited in the report and indicates a pattern of behavior and The College, through the CRC, may choose to provide this information to the Investigator or Hearing Officer, with appropriate notice to the Parties. Alternatively, a Party may request in writing that information under this section be admitted. A request to admit such information must be submitted to the CRC. The CRC will access the relevance of this information and determine if it is appropriate for inclusion in the investigation report.

8. Consolidation of Investigations

The CRC has the discretion to consolidate into one Investigation multiple reports against a Respondent and/or cross-complaints between a Complainant and a Respondent, if the evidence related to each incident would be relevant and probative in reaching a determination on the other incident. Matters may be consolidated where they involve multiple Complainants, multiple Respondents, or related conduct that would regularly have been heard under the Code of Student Conduct.
9. Failure to Comply with Investigation and Disciplinary Process

If an Advisor fails to comply with the procedures set forth herein, including through a material breach of confidentiality, the College reserves the right to exclude the Advisor from further participation in the process. If a participant fails to comply with the procedures set forth herein, including through a material breach of confidentiality, the College reserves the right to bring additional charges of misconduct against the participant. The CRC is responsible for interpreting and applying this provision.

10. Integrity of Proceeding

These procedures are entirely administrative in nature and are not considered legal proceedings, but rather procedures designed to address policy violations. No Party may audio or video record the proceedings. Advisors may not speak for, or on behalf of, Parties.

11. Records

The CRC will retain records of all reports and complaints, regardless of whether the matter is resolved by means of Initial Assessment, Informal Resolution or Formal Resolution. Complaints resolved by means of an Initial Assessment or Informal Resolution are not part of a student’s conduct file or academic record. Affirmative findings of responsibility in matters resolved through Formal Resolution are part of a student’s conduct record. Such records shall be used in reviewing any further conduct, or developing sanctions, and shall remain a part of a student’s conduct record. In addition to records kept by the CRC, the conduct files of students who have been suspended or expelled from the College are maintained in the Dean of Students Office indefinitely. Conduct files of students who have not been suspended or expelled are maintained in the Dean of Students Office for no fewer than seven years from the date of the incident. Further questions about record retention should be directed to the Title IX Office.

12. Transcript Actions

When the Grievance Process begins under this Policy, the College will notate the Respondent’s transcript with “Administrative Matter Pending.” The notation will be removed after the Grievance Process is completed, unless the Respondent is also a Respondent in other ongoing investigations or Grievance Processes for which the notation is also applicable.
RESOLUTION OF DISCRIMINATION GRIEVANCE AGAINST ADMINISTRATOR, STAFF MEMBER, OR FACULTY MEMBER (WHERE DELEGATED BY FACULTY HANDBOOK) FOR DISCRIMINATION, HARASSMENT AND RETALIATION.

This document outlines the procedures the College follows in resolving a grievance by any member of the campus community (“Complainant”) who alleges that an administrator, staff member, or faculty member (where the authority to resolve grievances against faculty members is delegated to the administration by the Faculty Handbook) (“Respondent”) engaged in discrimination, harassment and retaliation in violation of the Discrimination, Harassment and Retaliation Policy and/or the Sexual Misconduct Policy.


I. OVERVIEW

An individual who wishes to file a complaint involving allegations of discrimination, harassment, or retaliation based on a protected status, such as age, sex, race, disability, or veteran status by an administrator, staff member, or faculty member is encouraged to do so. If the allegation is based upon sex or gender, gender identity, gender expression discrimination, or conduct otherwise prohibited by the Sexual Misconduct Policy, the individual should file a complaint directly with the Title IX Office. Complaints about all other forms of discrimination should be filed with the Department of Human Resources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discrimination, Harassment or Retaliation Prohibited by the Sexual Misconduct Policy</th>
<th>All Other Discrimination, Harassment, or Retaliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title IX Office</td>
<td>Department of Human Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandra Fulcher, Title IX Coordinator (323) 259-1338; AGC 111 <a href="mailto:afulcher@oxy.edu">afulcher@oxy.edu</a> Web: <a href="http://www.oxy.edu/office-title-ix">http://www.oxy.edu/office-title-ix</a></td>
<td>Interim. <a href="mailto:jcalynf@oxy.edu">jcalynf@oxy.edu</a> (323) 259-2614 <a href="http://oxy.edu/human-resources">http://oxy.edu/human-resources</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Title IX Coordinators Jacalyn Feigelman - Human Resources <a href="mailto:jcalynf@oxy.edu">jcalynf@oxy.edu</a>; (323) 259-2614</td>
<td>Grievances Against Human Resources Amos Himmelstein Vice President and Chief Operating Officer <a href="mailto:himmelstein@oxy.edu">himmelstein@oxy.edu</a>; 323-259-1347</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The College will identify, based upon the nature of the allegations, the appropriate office to coordinate resolution of the complaint through the Grievance Process. The office that coordinates resolution of the complaint will identify a Civil Rights Grievance Process Coordinator (“Civil Rights Coordinator” or “CRC”) to monitor the Grievance Process as follows:

- The Title IX Office, and the Title IX team, will coordinate resolution of all complaints of Prohibited Conduct defined in the Sexual Misconduct Policy, including complaints of sex discrimination, sexual harassment, and/or retaliation.

- The Department of Human Resources will coordinate resolution of all complaints of Prohibited Conduct defined in the Discrimination, Harassment, and Retaliation Policy, including complaints of discrimination, harassment, and/or retaliation not otherwise described in the Sexual Misconduct Policy.

II. COMPLAINT RESOLUTION PROCEDURES

A. Complaint Intake

1. Members of the College community may file a complaint against an administrator, staff or faculty member by contacting the Title IX Coordinator for sex discrimination, harassment retaliation claims and the Human Resources Director for all other forms of discrimination, harassment or retaliation. Contact information is provided on page 16 of this handbook Appendix.

2. The CRC will conduct an Intake Meeting as soon as possible after receiving a complaint. At that meeting, the CRC will ascertain the basic facts of the complaint including details concerning the conduct that gives rise to the complaint, the name of the person(s) against whom the complaint is made, and the names of witnesses; provide an overview of the options for resolving a complaint, including Informal Resolution and Formal Resolution and explain that the Complainant has a right to an advisor of their choice during the process.

3. In addition to providing information about options for resolution, the CRC will inform the Complainant about available sources of further assistance, such as counseling
services available to students at Emmons Wellness Center Counseling and employees via the Employee Assistance Program ("EAP").

4. After receiving the complaint, the CRC will determine whether the complaint describes a potential violation of one or more of the College’s antidiscrimination policies. This determination is not intended to screen complaints from the Grievance Process. Rather, its purpose is to determine where the conduct as stated could constitute a violation of one or more of the College’s antidiscrimination policies.

5. If the CRC determines that the complaint does not describe facts that would under any circumstances constitute a violation of one or more of the College’s antidiscrimination policies, the Complainant may never the less make a complaint to the federal Office for Civil Rights ("OCR"), the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"), the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), and/or the police, and may seek available civil remedies through the judicial system. The Complainant also may re-file the complaint with the College upon the discovery of additional facts.

United States Department of Education: Inquiries or complaints concerning the College’s compliance with Title IX and Title VI may be referred to the U.S. Department of Education’s Office for Civil Rights.
Office for Civil Rights, San Francisco
Office 50
United Nations Plaza
San Francisco, California 94102
(415) 486-5555 Email: OCR@ed.gov

DFEH Los Angeles Office: Any employee may pursue any charge of discrimination or harassment with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH").

320 West 4th Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, CA90013 (213)
439-6799

EEOC Los Angeles District Office: Any employee may pursue any charge of discrimination or harassment with the federal Equal Opportunity Employment Commission ("EEOC").

255 East Temple Street, 4th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90012
(213) 894-1000
6. If the CRC determines that the complaint does not allege a potential violation of one or more of the College’s antidiscrimination policies but, nevertheless, alleges other policy violations or unprofessional behavior, the CRC shall refer the concern to the appropriate College administrator (e.g., Human Resources, the Dean of the College, and/or the Dean of Students) to consider further action to identify and, if necessary, address the inappropriate or unprofessional behavior.

B. Informal Resolution Procedures

1. Individuals who believe they have been or may be the victim of discrimination and harassment, not involving physical or sexual violence, may request to use these informal resolution procedures. Complaints alleging physical or sexual violence addressed under Section II must be resolved through formal resolution. Use of the informal procedures described below is not a prerequisite to resolving a complaint through the formal resolution process.

2. Informal resolution procedures do not involve a formal investigation or finding of responsibility. They are designed to correct, rather than punish, behavior. To the extent discipline is imposed through informal resolution, it will be imposed consistent with the procedures outlined below. Informal resolutions may include, but are not limited to, changes in residence arrangements, changes in classes, changes in schedules or work hours, changes in work assignment/location, or “no contact” agreements.

3. The CRC has discretion to determine whether the complaint may proceed through informal resolution as an alternative to the formal resolution process. If the CRC determines that the complaint may appropriately be resolved through informal resolution, the CRC will ask the Complainant and Respondent, separately, whether they wish to attempt informal resolution. If either Party declines, or if the Complainant, Respondent, or CRC, at any time, determines that Informal Resolution is no longer appropriate, the CRC will promptly inform the Complainant and Respondent in writing that the Informal Resolution process has stopped and that the complaint will proceed through Formal Resolution in accordance with this policy.

4. Requests for assistance under these informal procedures will be dealt with, to the greatest extent practical and possible, on a private basis. Disclosure of information related to such requests for assistance will be limited to those who need to know the information in order to provide assistance, ensure fairness, comply with existing law, and/or allow the College to meet its obligations to provide a safe or nondiscriminatory work, educational or living environment.

5. If resolution is reached by this process, no further actions will be taken and the matter will be considered closed. If the matter cannot be resolved informally, the CRC will accept a complaint for formal resolution.
C. Formal Resolution Procedures

i. Initial Stages

1. Individuals who believe they have been the victim of discrimination, harassment or retaliation, including individuals who have begun or completed an unsuccessful informal resolution process, may file a formal complaint. If the complaint meets the threshold determinations described in sections A.4 and A.5, such a complaint will result in an investigation, the purpose of which shall be to determine whether a violation of one of the antidiscrimination policies has occurred. If the CRC determines the complaint alleges a possible violation of one or more of the antidiscrimination policies, the CRC will notify the Complainant and the Respondent, in writing, of the decision. In certain circumstances, the College may initiate an investigation without a formal complaint from any individual.

2. When appropriate, prior to or during an investigation, the College may take interim measures to protect the safety and well-being of the Complainant and/or members of the College community. Interim measures may be applied with respect to either the Complainant or Respondent. Such measures include, but are not limited to, changes in work schedules or work hours, changes in work assignment/ location, a “no contact” order, or suspension.

3. The Complainant and Respondent may be accompanied by an advisor of their choice during all phases of the complaint process. The advisor must not be otherwise involved in the incident or in any other role in the investigation (including as a witness). The role of the advisor at any meeting during the resolution process is that of an observer, not an advocate. The advisor cannot speak on the Complainant’s or Respondent’s behalf.

ii. Notice of the Allegations to the Respondent

The CRC’s written notification to the Respondent will state facts sufficient to apprise the Respondent of the nature of the allegations, including specifically:

- Complainant’s name
- Nature of the complaint
- Specific policy violations alleged (e.g., sexual assault, racial harassment, retaliation)
- Dates of alleged policy violations
- Approximate times of alleged policy violations
- Locations of alleged policy violations
- Brief description of the incident/ alleged misconduct
  - An overview of the procedural options and process, including Informal Resolution and Formal Resolution
  - The availability of an advisor of their choice.
  - A description of the College’s policy prohibiting retaliation

In the notice to the Respondent, the CRC shall request a meeting within three (3) days of transmittal of the notice. If the Respondent does not respond to the meeting request, the investigation may proceed without the Respondent’s participation.

iii. Formal Investigation
1. The CRC will select a trained internal or external investigator or a two-person investigative team, (which may include a combination of one internal and one external investigator) (the “investigator”) to conduct a reasonable, impartial, and prompt investigation of the complaint (“Investigation”). The CRC will notify the parties, in writing, of the name of the Investigator within three days of the Investigator’s selection. The notification will also include the name of the assigned CRC. Both Parties will have three business days of the Investigator’s selection. That notification will also include the name of the assigned CRC. Both Parties will have three business days to object to the Investigator’s and/or CRC selection on the basis of bias or conflict of interest. If either of the Parties objects, the CRC or other College Administrator if the objection is against the CRC, will evaluate whether the objection is substantiated. The CRC will remove and replace any Investigator the CRC finds to have a bias or conflict of interest against either party.

2. Reasonable effort shall be made to complete the investigation within (60) calendar days of the date the formal complaint is made or as soon thereafter as practical under the circumstances. The CRC will provide the Complainant and the Respondent with notice of any delays and give them a new timetable for completion of the investigation.

3. The investigation will include an interview(s) with the Complainant, the Respondent and/or any other person who may have information regarding the incident, each of whom is encouraged to cooperate with any investigation. The investigator may also gather of any relevant documents. The investigator will also conduct follow-up interviews, as necessary. The investigator shall take steps to ascertain the accuracy of the notes taken in each interview, whether by reading the notes back to the person interviewed at the conclusion of the interview, or by providing a copy of the notes and requesting corrections.

4. Evidence Review: The CRC will provide the Interview Summaries and any documentary evidence gathered to the Parties for review. Given the sensitive nature of the information provided, the CRC will provide the information in a secure manner (e.g., by providing digital copies of the materials through a protected, “read-only” web portal). Neither the Complainant nor the Respondent (or their advisors, including but not limited to family members and/or legal counsel) may copy, remove, photograph, print, image, videotape, record, or in any manner otherwise duplicate or remove the information provided. Any Party who fails to abide by this policy may be subject to discipline. Any advisor who fails to abide by this policy may be subject to discipline and/or may be excluded from further participation in the process. The Parties may respond to the Interview Summaries and any documentary evidence; the Parties will submit any response within ten (10) calendar days of being notified of their opportunity to review the documents. The Parties may respond in one or both of the following ways:
The Parties may provide a written response. The Investigator will incorporate any written response provided by the Parties in the Summary of Evidence Report.

The Parties may submit a written request for additional investigation. Such requests may include, but are not limited to, the following: (1) request(s) for follow-up interview(s) with existing witnesses to clarify or provide additional information, including offering questions to the investigator to pose to witnesses; (2) request(s) for interviews with new witnesses; or (3) request(s) to consider new evidence. Any request for additional investigation shall explain the reason for the request.

5. The Investigator shall review the evidence submitted by the Parties and conduct any additional fact-gathering as may be necessary.

6. The Investigator will prepare a Report for the CRC. The report will state (1) the ultimate finding(s) (e.g., whether the Respondent did, or did not, violate College Policy); (2) the specific factual findings in support of the Investigator’s ultimate finding(s); and (3) the specific evidence upon which the Investigator relied in making each factual finding. The standard for determining whether the policy has been violated is the preponderance of the evidence standard, i.e., whether it is more likely than not that a violation of College policy occurred. Where applicable, the report will have attached as exhibits the testimonial and documentary evidence from the Investigation. Once the Investigator is satisfied that the report is complete, the Investigator will submit the report to the CRC. The CRC will review the report and assess whether the report demonstrates, by a preponderance of the evidence, that: (1) the evidence supports the Investigator’s factual findings; and (2) the Investigator’s factual findings support the Investigator’s ultimate finding of responsibility or non-responsibility.

7. Once the report is finalized, the CRC will issue a resolution letter to the Complainant and Respondent. The resolution letter will briefly describe how the investigation was conducted, as well as the ultimate finding(s) (i.e., whether the Respondent did, or did not, violate College policy) and the specific factual findings in support of the Investigator’s ultimate finding(s).

8. Where the investigator concludes that a violation of this policy has occurred, the appropriate College officers will take prompt and appropriate remedial action, including disciplinary action, as described in Section III, below. The College will disclose information about the sanction imposed on an individual who was found to have engaged in harassment when the sanction directly relates to the complainant; for example, the College may inform the complainant that the harasser must stay away from the complainant.

9. If the Respondent is found responsible for a violation of one or more of the
antidiscrimination policies, the Formal Resolution process concludes with the imposition of discipline. If the Respondent is found not to have violated any antidiscrimination policy, the Formal Resolution has concluded. If, however, the Respondent is found not to have violated any antidiscrimination policy but is found to have engaged in inappropriate or unprofessional conduct which violates the College’s Standards of Conduct and Performance policy, the appropriate College officers will take prompt and appropriate remedial action, including disciplinary action, limited to the actions set forth in subsections 1 - 9 in Section III, below. At the conclusion of the Formal Resolution Process, either party may appeal only upon the grounds described in Section IV.

III. REMEDIES AND DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS

A. REMEDIES

Remedies may include but are not limited to offering to remove the Complainant or the Respondent from the hostile environment; changes in classes; changes in residence arrangements; changes in schedules or work hours; changes in work assignment/location; a “no contact” order.

B. Discipline

Persons who violate one or more of the College’s antidiscrimination policies will be disciplined. The particular form of discipline will depend on the nature of the offense. Such discipline shall be imposed pursuant to and in accordance with any and all applicable College rules, policies and procedures. A person against whom such discipline is imposed shall have any rights to contest the imposition of discipline as may otherwise exist under applicable College rules, policies or procedures.

Discipline shall be imposed by the Operative Vice President in consultation with the Director of Human Resources or the Title IX Coordinator if there is a violation of the sexual misconduct policy. In the event that the Operative Vice President is the Respondent in the case, the President of the College will consult with the Director of Human Resources or the Title IX Coordinator if there is a violation of the sexual misconduct policy. The Operative Vice President has the discretion to implement a variety of disciplinary actions. If the Investigator has found that the Respondent sexually assaulted the Complainant in violation of the College’s Sexual Misconduct Policy, or physically assaulted the Complainant in violation of the College’s Discrimination, Harassment, and Retaliation Policy, it is expected that the Operative Vice President will terminate the Respondent’s employment (absent extenuating circumstances). The CRC will notify the Parties of the disciplinary actions within five business days of receipt of the Operative Vice President’s Decision.

Any one or more of the disciplinary actions listed here may be imposed on a Respondent who is found responsible for a violation of the College’s antidiscrimination policies. Disciplinary actions not listed here may be imposed in consultation with the CRC. Disciplinary actions are
assessed in response to the specific violation(s) and any prior discipline of the Respondent.

Possible Disciplinary actions include, but are not limited to:

1. Warning: Verbal Notice, with documentation in the personnel file, that continuation or repetition of prohibited conduct may be cause for additional disciplinary action.

2. Reprimand: A written reprimand for violating one or more of the College’s antidiscrimination policies. The employee is officially warned that continuation or repetition of prohibited conduct may be cause for additional conduct action including probation, suspension, or termination of employment.

3. Restricted Access or Modification of Duties: Conditions which specifically dictate and limit the Respondent’s presence on campus, restrict or modify employment duties and/or participation in College sponsored or related activities. The restrictions shall be clearly defined and may include, but are not limited to, presence in certain buildings or locations on campus.

4. Probation: Formal, written notice that the employee’s conduct is in violation of the College’s antidiscrimination and/or other College policy and an expectation that the employee exhibit good behavior for a defined period of time. Any violation during the probationary period may result in further disciplinary action including but not limited to suspension without pay or termination of employment.

5. Suspension Without Pay: Separation of employment for a defined period of time without pay for the time of separation. During the suspension period, the employee is not permitted on campus and is not permitted to participate in, or supervise, any College sponsored or affiliated program or activity. The terms of the suspension may include the designation of special conditions affecting eligibility to continue employment upon completion of the suspension period. The Director of Human Resources will determine, consistent with law and College policy, whether and to what extent the employee will be eligible for benefits during this suspension and notify the employee, in writing, of that determination.

6. Termination of Employment: Permanent separation of the employee from the College.

7. Other: Other actions may be imposed instead of, or in addition to, those specified here. Service, education, training, coaching, or research projects may also be assigned.

8. Multiple Disciplinary Actions: More than one of the actions listed above may be imposed for any single violation.

Accommodations and Non-Disciplinary, Administrative Measures

In addition to, and independent of, the results of the investigation and disciplinary process, the CRC, in consultation with the Operative Vice President and Director of Human Resources, will determine any appropriate non-disciplinary, administrative measures. Such measures may include various forms of remedial, community-based responses, such as educational initiatives...
and/or trainings. In addition, the CRC will continue to provide for the care and support of the Parties as appropriate, including the ongoing provision of appropriate accommodations.

IV. APPEAL

The right to appeal is limited and applies only in cases where suspension without pay or termination of employment are appropriate disciplinary actions for the alleged conduct.

Assuming one or both of these disciplinary actions are acknowledged by the CRC to be appropriate for the alleged conduct, each party’s limited right to appeal from the Report is as follows:

- Complainant: If the finding is that the Respondent did not violate a policy that the Complainant believes the Respondent violated, the Complainant has five calendar days from receiving written notice of the Report to submit a written appeal to the CRC. If the Respondent has been found responsible for one or more policy violations, but not others that may have resulted in suspension without pay or termination of employment, the Complainant may submit a written appeal to the CRC within five calendar days of notice of the disciplinary action.

- Respondent: If the finding is that the Respondent did violate one or more policies and, as a result, has been disciplined in the form of suspension without pay or termination of employment, the Respondent has five calendar days from receiving written notice of the disciplinary action to submit a written appeal to the CRC.

The party who submits the written appeal will be the “Appellant,” and the responding party will be the “Appellee.” The Appellant’s written appeal must be based on at least one of two grounds: (1) Significant Procedural Error, or (2) New Information. Each ground is explained in greater detail:

- Significant Procedural Error: A procedural error occurred that significantly impacted the outcome of the investigation as it applies to the Appellant (e.g. substantiated bias, material deviation from established procedures). A description of the error and its impact on the outcome of the case must be included in the written appeal.

- New Information: Information has come to light that was not available or known to the Appellant during the investigation and that could significantly impact the findings. Information that was known to the Appellant during the investigation but which s/he chose not to present does not constitute new information. A summary of this new evidence and its potential impact on the investigation findings must be included in the written appeal.

Appellee will have five calendar days to submit a response. At the conclusion of these time
periods, the CRC will refer the written appeal, including the report, exhibits attached to the report, (the “Appeal Record”), to an Appeal Officer.

The CRC will appoint the Appeal Officer from a member of the trained Appeals Panel and notify the Parties of that appointment within five business days of receipt of the written appeal. The Parties will have five business days to object to the Appeal Officer’s selection on the basis of bias or conflict of interest. The CRC will consider any objection on the grounds of bias or conflict of interest. If the CRC determines that an Appeal Officer may have bias or conflict of interest, the CRC will remove the Appeal Officer and designate a new Appeal Officer.

The role of the Appeal Officer is limited. Appeals are not intended to be a full rehearing of the complaint. Appeals are confined to a view of the Appeal Record for the grounds stated above. The findings contained in the Investigator’s Report are presumed to have been decided reasonably and appropriately. The Appellant carries the burden of proof to demonstrate that either the alleged error, or the proposed new evidence, would significantly and materially impact the outcome of the proceeding.

The Appeal Officer will determine whether any grounds for the appeal are substantiated. If the Appeal Officer determines that the Request for Appeal does not meet the standards for an Appeal under this Grievance Process, the Appeal Officer will notify both parties of that outcome within ten business days of receipt of both the Appellant’s appeal, and the Appellee’s response. If the Appeal Officer determines that the Request for Appeal does meet the standards for an Appeal under this Grievance Process, the Appeal Officer will take appropriate action as indicated below.

- Procedural Error: If it is determined that a procedural error occurred that was substantially prejudicial to the outcome of the investigation, the Appeal Officer may return the report to the Investigator with instructions to correct the error, and to reconsider the findings as appropriate. In rare cases, where the procedural error cannot be corrected by the original Investigator (as in cases of bias), the Appeal Officer may order a new investigation with a new Investigator.
- New Information: If the Appeal Officer determines that new information should be considered, the report will be returned to the Investigator to reconsider the complaint in light of the new information only, and to reconsider the original findings as appropriate. The Investigator will prepare an Addendum to the report and provide the Parties with an opportunity to respond to the Addendum. The findings of the Report upon reconsideration are not appealable.

Notification of Appeal Outcome

Appellant and Appellee will generally be notified in writing of the outcome of the appeal within ten business days of receipt of Appellee’s response statement. The Appeal Officer’s decision is final and is not subject to appeal.